International Journal of Social Impact
ISSN: 2455-670X
ISBN: 978-1-365-47905-2
Volume 1, Issue 4, DIP: 18.02.023/20160104
www.ijsi.in | October-December, 2016

Positive Accounting: An Empirical Evaluation

Dr. Ashok Kumar Kothari[1]*

ABSTRACT

This paper audits and evaluates the positive accounting writing following the distribution of Watts and Zimmerman (1978, 1979). The 1978 paper created the positive accounting writing which offers a clarification of accounting practice, recommends the significance of contracting costs, and has prompted the disclosure of some beforehand obscure empirical normalities. The 1979 paper delivered a methodological discussion that has not been exceptionally profitable. This paper endeavors to eliminate some normal misguided judgments about techniques that surfaced in the discussion. It likewise proposes approaches to improve positive examination in accounting decisions. The most significant of these enhancements are more tight connections between the hypothesis and the empirical tests. A second proposed improvement is the advancement of models that perceive the endogeneity among the factors in the relapses. A third improvement is a decrease in estimation blunders in both the reliant and autonomous factors in the relapses.

Keywords: *Accounting, Empirical Evaluation*

Accounting scholars have commonly assessed the value of accounting rehearses by the degree of their concurrence with a specific diagnostic model. The model may comprise of a couple of affirmations or it very well might be a thoroughly evolved contention. For each situation, the strategy for assessment has been to contrast existing practices and the more ideal practices suggested by the model or with some standard which the model infers all practices ought to have. The inadequacy of this strategy is that it overlooks a huge wellspring of knowledge of the world, specifically, the degree to which the expectations of the model adjust to noticed conduct. It isn't sufficient to shield an analytical request on the premise that its suppositions are empirically acceptable, for how is one to realize that a hypothesis grasps the entirety of the applicable legitimate suspicions? Furthermore, how can one clarify the prescient forces of recommendations which depend on mysterious suppositions, for example, the amplification of utility capacities? Further, how is one to determine contrasts between recommendations which emerge from thinking about various parts of the world?

[1]Associate professor, Govt. Meera Girls College, Udaipur, India
*Responding Author

Received: October 11, 2016; Revision Received: November 14, 2016; Accepted: December 25, 2016

The estimation of analytical endeavors to create estimations equipped for authoritative understanding isn't at issue. What is at issue is the way that an analytical model doesn't itself evaluate the hugeness of takeoffs from its suggested estimations. Henceforth it is risky to close, without additional empirical testing, that an absence of considerable importance suggests an absence of utility. An empirical assessment of accounting income numbers requires understanding regarding what genuine result establishes a proper trial of helpfulness. Since the net gain is a various specific premium to financial specialists, the result we use as a prescient rule is the speculation choice as it is reflected in security prices. Both the substance and the circumstance of existing yearly total compensation numbers will be assessed since value could be debilitated by lacks in by the same token.

An Empirical Test

Late advancements in capital hypothesis give a defense to choosing the conduct of security costs as an operational trial of handiness. An amazing assemblage of hypothesis bolsters the recommendation that capital business sectors are both effective and unprejudiced in that on the off chance that data is helpful in framing capital resource costs, at that point the market will change resource costs to that data rapidly and without leaving any open door for the additional unusual increase. In the event that, as the proof demonstrates, security costs do indeed change quickly to new data as it opens up, at that point changes in security costs will re armada the progression of data to the market.' A noticed modification of stock costs related to the arrival of the income report would accordingly give proof that the data reflected in income numbers is helpful. Our strategy for relating accounting income to stock costs expands on this hypothesis and proof by zeroing in on the data which is remarkable to a specific firm. Specifically, we develop two elective models of what the market anticipates that income should be and afterward explore the market's responses when its desires refute.

SOME ECONOMETRIC ISSUES

One supposition of the OLS income relapse model is that Mi and UI are uncorrelated. The connection between's them can take in any event two structures, to be specific the incorporation of firm j in the market record of income (Mj), and the presence of industry impacts. The first has been disposed of by development (meant by the j-addendum on M), yet no change has been made for the presence of industry impacts. It has been assessed that industry impacts likely record for just around 10% of the changeability in the degree of a company's income. For this explanation condition (1) has been embraced as the proper determination in the conviction that any predisposition in the evaluations at and won't be critical. Nonetheless, as a keep an eye on the measurable proficiency of the model, we likewise present outcomes for another option, an innocent model which predicts that income will be the equivalent during the current year concerning last. Its gauge blunder is just the adjustment in income since the earlier year. Similar to the case with the income relapse model, the stock return model, as introduced, contains a few evident infringements of the presumptions of the OLS relapse model. In the first place, the market record of profits is related to the leftover in light of the fact that the market list contains the profit for firm j, and on account of industry impacts. Neither one of the violations is not kidding, on the grounds that Fisher's record is determined overall stocks recorded on the New York Stock Exchange (subsequently the profit for security j is just a little piece of the file), and on the grounds that industry impacts represent all things considered 10% of the fluctuation in the pace of profit for the normal stock. A subsequent infringement results from our expectation that, for specific months around the report dates, the normal estimations of the v/s are nonzero. Once more, any predisposition ought to have little impact on the outcomes, since there is a low, noticed autocorrelation in the and for no situation was the stock return relapse fitted over under 100 perceptions.

EVOLUTION

Present-day positive accounting research started prospering during the 1960s when Ball and Brown (1968), Beaver (1968), and others acquainted empirical money strategies with monetary accounting. The ensuing writing embraced the presumption that accounting numbers gracefully data for security market venture choices and utilized this "data point of view" to explore the connection between accounting numbers and stock costs.' The "data viewpoint" has shown us much of the market's utilization of accounting numbers. However, aside from the decision of stock techniques, the "data point of view" has not given theories to foresee and clarify accounting decisions. The "data viewpoint" has not given theories to clarify why whole businesses change from quickened to straight-line devaluation without changing their expense deterioration strategies. A significant explanation that the data point of view neglected to create speculations clarifying and foreseeing accounting decision is that in the money theory fundamental the empirical examinations, accounting decision as such couldn't influence firm esteem. Data is costless and there are no exchange costs in the Modigliani and Miller (1958) and capital resource valuing model structures. Subsequently, if accounting strategies don't influence charges they don't influence firm esteem. In that circumstance, there is no reason for foreseeing and clarifying accounting decisions. Accounting is unessential. To anticipate and clarify accounting decisions accounting analysts needed to present data and additionally exchanges costs. The underlying empirical investigations in accounting decisions utilized positive office expenses of obligation and remuneration agreements and positive data and campaigning costs in the political cycle to create esteem impacts for and, consequently, theories about accounting decisions. Money specialists had presented expenses of obligation that expand with the obligation/value proportion (Jensen and Meckling 1976) to clarify (in the mix with differential duties) how ideal capital structures could change across enterprises. The obligation costs initially presented were insolvency and office costs. The office costs were exceptionally compelling to bookkeepers since accounting seemed to assume a part in limiting them. Obligation contracts evidently pointed toward decreasing useless conduct use accounting numbers (Smith and Warner 1979; Leftwich 1983). Accounting scientists perceived the suggestions for accounting decisions and started utilizing the accounting numbers in the red agreements to produce theories about accounting decisions (Watts 1977). Accounting numbers additionally are utilized in supervisor's remuneration agreements and it is guessed that such use again limits organization costs (Smith and Watts 1982). This utilization of accounting numbers in extra plans recommended the likelihood that accounting decisions could influence abundance thus accounting scientists started utilizing that utilization to clarify accounting decisions. Watts and Zimmerman (1978) is an early illustration of this methodology.

Acquiring from the mechanical association writing in financial matters (Stigler 1971; Peltzman 1976) which accepts positive data costs and campaigning costs, accounting analysts proposed that the political cycle created costs for firms. These political expenses are an element of announced benefits. In this way, motivating forces are made to oversee revealed accounting numbers. Data and campaigning costs are essential for the expenses of "contracting" in the political cycle. The degree and type of abundance move made by the political cycle, (for example, the duty code) are influenced by these contracting costs. While the early writing focused on utilizing obligation and remuneration contracts and the political cycle to clarify and foresee accounting decision, the theory is hidden the empirical work was broader and had its establishment in monetary writing on the theory of the firm. Since the 1970s, business analysts have strived to build up a theory of the firm by endeavoring to clarify the authoritative structure of the firm (e.g., the decision of corporate structure, the structure of agreements, the executives pay, centralization-decentralization). The fundamental idea (Alchian 1950) is that opposition among various types of establishments prompts the endurance of those structures most savvy in providing products and ventures. Gainful action can happen by means of the commercial center or by the incorporation of a few exercises inside a firm (Coase 1937; Alchian and Demsetz 1972). In the commercial center, the bearing of gainful action and participation is by market costs; inside the firm elective systems, for example, standard expenses are utilized (Ball 1989). Which profitable exercises are done by business sectors and which by firms relies upon which plan is cost-effective? In rivalry among firms, those that sort out themselves to limit contracting costs are bound to endure (Fama and Jensen 1983a, 1983b). It was a short advance to recommend that accounting techniques influence the association's hierarchical expenses thus the accounting strategies that endure are the consequence of a comparative financial balance (Watts 1974, 1977).4 Accounting specialists have as of late got back to utilizing that thought of a productive arrangement of accounting strategies to clarify accounting decisions (Zimmer 1986).

As noted over, the office costs related with obligation and the executives pay contracts and the office, data, and other contracting costs related with the political cycle gave the speculations tried in the early empirical accounting decision contemplates (reward plan, obligation/value, and political cost theories). In any case, the more broad methodology recommended office and different expenses related to different agreements (e.g., deals) could likewise influence accounting choice. This potential for some agreements to assume a function in clarifying authoritative decision (counting accounting decision) and the way that office costs used to clarify the agreements frequently emerge in legally binding situations that vary from those of the standard office issue drove specialists to begin to utilize the expression "contracting costs" rather than office costs (Klein 1983; Smith 1980). The idea of contracting costs and the thought of accounting strategies as a component of productive hierarchical innovation assume key parts in contemporaneous positive accounting theory.

SUMMERY

Our prime target in this paper is to give a point of view on our 1978 and 1979 Accounting Review papers. The 1978 paper has demonstrated more significance than the "Reasons" paper. In light of references, the 1978 paper has gotten more than three times the same number of references as the 1979 paper (Brown and Gardner 1985,97). The 1978 paper was an impetus for an investigation into the decision of accounting strategies. Aside from creating banters over technique, the 1979 "Reasons" paper has stayed outside the standard of accounting research likely due to the more emotional sort of proof important to test speculations of the impact of accounting research on arrangement.

The discussion over procedure has been less helpful than the revelation and clarification of empirical consistencies. The positive accounting writing has found a few empirical consistencies in accounting decisions and gave a clarification to them. Pundits of the 1978 and 1979 papers raise issues including research strategies and theory of science. The philosophy we and the ensuing writing use is the system of financial matters, money, and science for the most part. This system has been fruitful in accounting and we feel no need to apologize for it. Under this system, a theory isn't disposed of only due to some conflicting perceptions. The best theory is resolved in an opposition to satisfy the need from understudies and experts for hypotheses that clarify and anticipate accounting decision. It is impossible an accounting or a sociology theory with amazing forecasts will actually exist. Analysts are affected by their qualities. In any case, to the degree those analysts are contending to fulfill understudy and professionals' need for hypotheses, they have motivating forces to diminish that impact. Further, the cautious polarity among theory and solution lessens that impact. In conclusion, accounting is a movement done by individuals and one can't create a theory that predicts and clarifies accounting marvels by overlooking the impetuses of the people who account. In this last area, we sum up the commitments made by this writing, our perspectives on promising examination headings, and a few ends.

Positive Accounting Literature Contributions Discovering methodical examples in accounting decisions sketched out in the first areas and giving explicit clarifications to the examples are the writer's significant commitments. Be that as it may, we accept the writing has made different commitments: it gives an instinctively conceivable structure to getting accounting. A conceivable system is a valuable instructional method for educating accounting. The writing additionally urges analysts to address accounting issues and accentuates the focal part of contracting costs in accounting theory. The writing clarifies why accounting is utilized and gives a structure to foreseeing accounting decisions. Decisions are not made as far as "better estimation" of some accounting build, for example, income. Decisions are made regarding singular destinations and the impacts of accounting strategies on the accomplishment of those goals. For instance, some accounting educators instruct that specific accounting strategies (e.g., current expense) are superior to other people (e.g., chronicled cost). In any case, no clarification is offered why these "better" measures are not embraced. The positive accounting writing takes as given the recommendation that the acknowledged set augments the abundance of the contracting gatherings and afterward tries to see how abundance is influenced by explicit accounting strategies. The writing's accentuation on anticipating and clarifying accounting wonders supports research that is pertinent to accounting. One of the main inquiries one seeking after this methodology pose of another model is whether it has any pertinence to anticipating and clarifying accounting practice. Another commitment of the writing is to feature the significance of contracting costs (counting data, organization, liquidation, and campaigning costs). Contracting costs have for some time been significant in financial matters and date to Coase (1937). Positive accounting research has all the more as of late perceived the significance of contracting expenses to clarify accounting. In the last part of the 1960s and 1970s, monetary financial analysts inferred valuing models (capital resource estimating models, choice evaluating models, exchange valuing models). These models were created under suspicions of costless data and such models clarify why various protections sell at various relative costs. Such models don't clarify institutional contrasts, for example, open-and-shut end common assets. To clarify such institutional contrasts requires presumptions of exorbitant data and contracting. In like manner, accounting would not exist without contracting costs thus it is hard to create a theory that predicts and clarifies accounting without making suppositions about the general extents of these expenses. The focal function of contracting costs featured by positive accounting research makes it hard to disregard these expenses in accounting speculations. It guides analysts' focus toward the fitting issues. Future Research Directions Section II talked about two significant exploration strategy issues: the absence of intensity of the tests and option financial clarifications for the empirical consistencies. The accompanying exploration proposals center around these two issues. We accept these recommendations will be more productive in propelling the comprehension of accounting decisions than "simply leading more examinations utilizing existing definitions of the theory and existing methods of estimating factors" (Christie approaching) (additionally observe Holthausen and Leftwich 1983, 109-114).

To start with, the absolute most significant errand confronting positive accounting specialists is improving the linkage between the theory and empirical tests. The theory predicts that the greatness of obligation renegotiation costs will influence supervisors' decision of accounting strategies and will set an upper bound on the size of the default costs. Until now, scientists have been not able to archive the extent of the costs forced by a specialized infringement of an obligation pledge or the greatness of renegotiation costs (Holthausen 1981; Leftwich 1981; Lys 1984; Leftwich approaching). More prominent consideration must be set on building up a bound together theory that fuses both the ex-bet productive limitations on the directors' acknowledged arrangement of accounting techniques and the ex-post exercise by administrators of their circumspection to pick accounting strategies from inside the acknowledged set. The empirical tests can at this point don't expect accounting decisions is made for one or the other effectiveness or deft reasons. Both must be fused into the tests. Additionally, assessments of the general sizes of the different segments of contracting expenses can assist with promoting refine the linkage between the theory and tests by recognizing those costs generally compelling in driving accounting decision. Creating and testing elective theories for the current empirical normalities additionally will improve the linkage between the theory and the tests. Speculations can be created to anticipate new empirical normalities. Under the contracting approach, obligation and remuneration contracts are just a portion of the agreements that influence firms' incomes. Other (unequivocal and verifiable) agreements can be utilized to grow new forecasts (DeAngelo 1988a). Especially encouraging is the impact of accounting methodology for inner control on outside revealing (Ball 1989). For instance, Mian and Smith (approaching) find that the pervasiveness of combined announcing of financing auxiliaries relies upon the degree to which the auxiliary is related to the parent's principal business. How the firm is coordinated inside (e.g., practically or by-product offering), the kind of inward remuneration frameworks and the venture opportunity set are likely connected with the sort of inner accounting execution estimation frameworks. Between all contracting gatherings may well end up being as significant a determinant of outside monetary announcing as the outer contracting parties. At last, the political cycle can influence firms' incomes other than by means of the basic political cost theories. More point by point particular of government administrative cycles that depend on accounting numbers can be utilized to grow new speculations and a more tight linkage between the theory and tests by recommending more exact intermediary factors other than firm size (Sutton 1988; Wong 1988; Jones 1988).

Second, when an accounting decision is given a role as a feature of the proficient contracting innovation, factors regularly used to clarify and anticipate accounting decision are endogenous. For instance, changes in accounting strategies happen all the while with changes in the association's venture opportunity set, its monetary and pay gets, its hierarchical structure, and even in its world of politics.

Directors pick bundles of accounting strategy, monetary approach, and hierarchical structure (counting execution assessment and prize frameworks). Hypothetical and empirical models must be created to figure out the endogeneity issues among the factors and, along these lines, increment the intensity of the tests. While this is no simple undertaking, it appears to be fundamental to huge advances in both the speculations of the firm and of accounting. Accounting numbers are utilized in various manners across enterprises. Other than the undeniable administrative employments of accounting numbers in monetary organizations and public utilities, contrasts in businesses' chance sets are probably going to influence the acknowledged arrangement of accounting strategies. Two kinds of studies are probably going to demonstrate help and again increment the tests' capacity. To begin with considers exploring contrasts in venture opportunity sets (e.g., the relative sum development occasions to resources set up, Myers 1977), accounting strategies, authoritative structures, and monetary approaches across businesses are probably going to create data valuable for the displaying recommended in the first passage. Second, intra-industry investigations of accounting decisions while requiring huge measures of industry-explicit knowledge by the analyst, have the capability of creating helpful experiences about the greatness of contracting costs.

Third, estimation blunders in net gatherings can be decreased to expand the tests' capacity. This requires a model of net accumulations not expose to administrative accounting circumspection (Kaplan 1985; McNichols and Wilson 1988; DeAngelo 1988b; Moyer 1988). Likewise, supplanting the straightforward pointer factors used to speak to a reward plan or an accounting-based obligation pledge with ceaseless factors that better measure the overall extent of different contracting costs will presumably build the theory's prescient force.

CONCLUSIONS

While the positive accounting writing has yielded empirical consistencies and clarifications for these formalities, it is clear there are many examination openings accessible past those as of now abused. The trial of the obligation, reward, and political cost theories speak to the extremely restricted investigation. Joining both ex risk contracting productivity motivations with ex-post redistributive impacts is probably going to demonstrate help. In like manner, examining the ramifications of interior agreements and outside agreements other than obligation and extra agreements is probably going to be gainful. The significant advancements are probably going to come from review accounting as a decision that is endogenous with the decision of association, contracting, and monetary structures. Such advancement will be hard to accomplish, yet significant establishments can be laid by focusing on the linkage between the theory and the empirical tests and by examining between and intra-industry varieties in accounting techniques and other hierarchical decisions.

The underlying goal was to survey the value of existing accounting income numbers by looking at their data substance and idealness. The method of examination allowed some positive ends which we will quickly rehash. Of all the data about an individual firm that opens up during a year, one-half or more is caught in that year's income number. Its substance is accordingly extensive. In any case, the yearly income report doesn't rate profoundly as a convenient medium, since the vast majority of its substance (around 85 to 90 percent) is caught by more brief media which maybe incorporate break reports. Since the proficiency of the capital market is to a great extent dictated by the sufficiency of its information sources, we don't think that it's perplexing that the market has gone to different sources which can be followed up on more speedily than yearly overall gain. This examination raises a few issues for additional examination. For instance, there remains the assignment of distinguishing the media by which the market can foresee overall gain: of what help are between time reports and profit declarations? For bookkeepers, there is the issue of evaluating the expense of planning yearly income reports comparative with that of the more convenient interval reports.

The connection between the greatness (and not just the indication) of the startling income change and the related stock value change could likewise be investigated. This would offer an alternate method of estimating the estimation of data about income changes, and may, furthermore, outfit knowledge into the measurable idea of the income cycle, a cycle minimal saw yet of significant premium to accounting analysts. At long last, a system has been accommodated an empirical way to deal with a confined class of the dubious decisions in outside detailing.

REFERENCE

1. BALL, RAY AND PHILIP BROWN (1967). "Some Preliminary Findings on the Association between the Earnings of a Firm, Its Industry and the Economy," Empirical Research in Accounting: Selected Studies, 1967, Supplement to Volume 5 of the Journal of Accounting Research, pp. 55-77.
2. BEAVER, WILLIAM H. (1968). "The Information Content of Annual Earnings Announcements," forthcoming in Empirical Research in Accounting: Selected Studies 1968, Supplement to Volume 6 of the Journal of Accounting Research.
3. BLUME, MARSHALL E. (1968). "The Assessment of Portfolio Performance" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago).
4. BREALEY, RICHARD A. (1968). "The Influence of the Economy on the Earnings of the Firm" (unpublished paper presented at the Sloane School of Finance Seminar, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, May, 1968).
5. BROWN, PHILIP AND VICTOR NIEDERHOFFER (1968). "The Predictive Content of Quarterly Earnings," Journal of Business.
6. CANNING, JOHN B. (1929). The Economics of Accountancy (New York: The Ronald Press Co.).
7. CHAMBERS, RAYMOND J. (1964). "Measurement and Objectivity in Accounting," The Accounting Review, XXXIX (April, 1964), 264-74.
8. COOTNER, PAUL H., ed. (1964). The Random Character of Stock Market Prices (Cambridge, Mass.: The M.I.T. Press).
9. EDWARDS, EDGAR 0. AND PHILIP W. BELL (1961). The Theory and Measurement of Business Income (Berkeley, Cal.: The University of California Press).
10. FAMA, EUGENE F. (1965). "The Behavior of Stock Market Prices," Journal of Business, XXXVIII (January, 1965), 34-105.
11. Chicago: Center for Mathematical Studies in Business and Economics; forthcoming in the International Economic Review).
12. FISHER, LAWRENCE (1966). "Some New Stock Market Indices," Journal of Business, XXXIX (Supplement, January, 1966), 191-225.

13. GILMAN, STEPHAN (1939). Accounting Concepts of Profit (New York: The Ronald Press
14. Co.). IJIRI, YUJI (1967). The Foundations of Accounting Measurement (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall).
15. JENSEN, MICHAEL C. (1968). "Risk, the Pricing of Capital Assets, and the Evaluation of Investment Portfolios" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago).
16. KING, BENJAMIN F. (1966). "Market and Industry Factors in Stock Price Behavior," Journal of Business, XXXIX (Supplement, January, 1966), 139-90.
17. LIM, RONALD S. (1966). "The Mathematical Propriety of Accounting Measurements and Calculations," The Accounting Review, XLI (October, 1966), 642-51.
18. PATON, W. A. AND A. C. LITTLETON (1940). An Introduction to Corporate Accounting Standards (American Accounting Association Monograph No. 3).
19. SAMUELSON, PAUL A. (1965). "Proof That Properly Anticipated Prices Fluctuate Randomly," Industrial Management Review, 7 (Spring, 1965), 41-49.
20. SCHOLES, MYRON J. (1967). "The Effect of Secondary Distributions on Price" (unpublished paper presented at the Seminar on the Analysis of Security Prices,
21. University of Chicago).
22. SHARPE, WILLIAM F. (1964). "Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium under Conditions of Risk," Journal of Finance, XIX (September, 1964), 425-42.
23. STERLING, ROBERT R. (1967). "Elements of Pure Accounting Theory," The Accounting Review, XLII (January, 1967), 62-73.
24. VATTER, WILLIAM J. (1947). The Fund Theory of Accounting (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press).
25. Beaver, W. 1968. The information content of annual earnings announcements. Journal of Accounting Research. (Supplement): 67-92.
26. Begley, J. 1990. Debt covenants and accounting choice. Journal of Accounting & Economics. (Forthcoming).
27. Bernard, V. 1989. Capital markets research in accounting during the 1980s: A critical review. Manuscript, University of Michigan.
28. Bowen, R. M., E. W. Noreen, and J. M. Lacey. 1981. Determinants of the corporate decision to capitalize interest. Journal of Accounting & Economics. (August): 151-179.
29. Brown, L. D., and J. C. Gardner. 1985. Using citation analysis to assess the impact of journals and articles on contemporary accounting research (CAR). Journal of Accounting Research. (Spring): 84-109.
30. Chambers, R. J. 1966. Accounting, evaluation, and economic behavior. Prentice-Hall.
31. Coase, R. H. 1937. The nature of the firm. Economica. (November): 386-405.
32. Daley, L. A., and R. L. Vigeland. 1983. The effects of debt covenants and political costs on the choice of accounting methods: The case of accounting for R&D costs. Journal of Accounting & Economics. (December): 195-21 1.
33. DeAngelo, L. E. 1986. Accounting numbers as market valuation substitutes: A study of management buyouts of public stockholders. TheAccounting Review. (July): 400-420.
34. Foster, G. 1980. Accounting policy decisions and capital market research. Journal of Accounting & Economics. (March): 29-62.
35. Friedman, M. [1953] 1966. The methodology ofpositive economics, essays in positive economics. Reprint, Phoenix Books.

36. Frost, C. and V. Bernard. 1989. The role of debt covenants in assessing economic consequences of limiting capitalization of exploration costs. The Accounting Review. (October): 788-808.
37. Gagnon, J. M. 1967. Purchase versus pooling of interest: The search for a predictor. Journal of Accounting Research. (Supplement): 187-204.
38. Gordon, M. J. 1964. Postulates, principles and research in accounting. The Accounting Review. (April): 251-263.

Acknowledgements

The authors profoundly appreciate all the people who have successfully contributed to ensuring this paper in place. Their contributions are acknowledged however their names cannot be mentioned.

Conflict of Interest

The author declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Kothari A. (2016). Positive Accounting: An Empirical Evaluation. *International Journal of Social Impact*, *1*(4), 173-182. DIP: 18.02.022/20160104, DOI: 10.25215/2455/0104022