International Journal of Social Impact

ISSN: 2455-670X

Volume 8, Issue 2, DIP: 18.02.012/20230802

DOI: 10.25215/2455/0802012 www.ijsi.in | April-June, 2023



Commercialization of Online Education Platforms During the Corona Period in India vs. Other Asian Countries

Dr. Naveen Kumar¹*, Dr. Raj Kumari²

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic caused a significant shift in how education was delivered, thus prompting the use of online education platforms. This paper looks into the development and growth of online education platforms during the COVID-19 pandemic and considers Indian and other Asian countries such as China, Korea and Indonesia. It also investigates how these platforms responded to increasing market opportunities, the difficulties encountered in different socio-economic circumstances, and the consequences for the overall picture of education in Asia.

Keywords: Online Education Platforms, Corona Period in India, Other Asian Countries

he Covid-19 pandemic fundamentally altered the education scene the world over, bringing over 1.5 billion children out of physical school settings. Online education platforms became the most important means to ensure 'learning continues.' This paper focuses on how these platforms operated commercially during the pandemic by comparing India with other important Asian countries in terms of strategies and results achieved.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs the framework of comparative analysis. The data was collected from reliable sources like journals, government publications, and other market research activities published before 2022. Metrics that were used comprise of enhancing the number of users, income opportunities, government policies, and socio economic constraints.

COMMERCIALIZATION OF ONLINE EDUCATION PLATFORMS IN INDIA

Growth of the EdTech Industry

India witnessed a surge in the EdTech sector, with platforms like BYJU'S, Unacademy, and Vedantu leading the market. Key drivers included:

- **Increased Investment**: BYJU'S raised over \$1 billion in 2020 alone, becoming a decacorn.
- **Expanding User Base**: Rural adoption rose due to affordable smartphones and low-cost internet.

Received: March 22, 2023; Revision Received: June 16, 2023; Accepted: June 25, 2023

¹Associate Professor of Commerce, Govt. National College, Sirsa - 125055 (Haryana)

²Extension Lecturer in Comp. Sc, Govt. National College, Sirsa - 125055 (Haryana)

^{*}Corresponding Author

^{© 2023,} Kumar, N. & Kumari, R.; licensee IJSI. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Commercialization of Online Education Platforms During the Corona Period in India vs. Other Asian Countries

• **Government Initiatives**: Programs like DIKSHA provided free digital resources to students.

Challenges

- **Digital Divide**: Despite growth, over 70% of students in rural areas lacked consistent internet access.
- **High Costs**: Premium platforms often priced out lower-income families.
- Quality Concerns: Unregulated content led to varying educational standards.

COMMERCIALIZATION IN OTHER ASIAN COUNTRIES

China

China's online education market, already robust, grew exponentially during the pandemic:

- **Massive Government Support**: The Ministry of Education facilitated live-streamed lessons for millions of students.
- **Market Leaders**: Platforms like TAL Education and New Oriental dominated, with significant venture capital funding.
- **Policy Impact**: The "Double Reduction" policy introduced in 2021 aimed to curb excessive commercialization, limiting after-school tutoring.

South Korea

South Korea leveraged its advanced digital infrastructure:

- **Technology Integration**: Platforms like Megastudy and EBS capitalized on widespread internet penetration and tech-savvy users.
- **Government Support**: Subsidies ensured equitable access for students from low-income families.

Indonesia

Indonesia faced unique challenges:

- Infrastructure Deficits: Low internet penetration hindered rural adoption.
- Localized Solutions: Startups like Ruangguru adapted content to regional languages and curriculum.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Comparative analysis that clearly contrasts the commercialization of online education platforms during the pandemic across India, China, South Korea, and Indonesia:

Aspect	India	China	South Korea	Indonesia
Market	Explosive growth	Massive expansion	Stable growth	Moderate
Growth	driven by private	but under strict	supported by	growth with a
	firms like	government scrutiny	existing strong	focus on local
	BYJU'S and	post-2021 with the	infrastructure	startups such
	Unacademy.	"Double Reduction"	and established	as Ruangguru.
	Raised	policy.	players like	Limited
	significant		EBS.	foreign
	venture capital.			investment.
Government	Limited	Strong government	Extensive	Minimal
Role	government	involvement both in	support,	involvement;
	intervention.	promotion and	including	efforts mostly
	Programs like	regulation, ensuring	subsidies for	localized to

Commercialization of Online Education Platforms During the Corona Period in India vs. Other Asian Countries

Aspect	India	China	South Korea	Indonesia
	DIKSHA aimed at free digital learning but lacked large- scale implementation.	inclusivity but restricting commercialization.	low-income students and integration with public education.	private initiatives with some public sector collaboration.
Digital Infrastructure	Rapidly expanding but heavily urban- focused. Rural areas still struggle with poor connectivity.	Highly advanced, providing access even in remote regions. Supported by a strong digital ecosystem.	Top-tier digital infrastructure ensures nationwide connectivity. High smartphone and internet penetration.	Limited in rural areas, with significant gaps in internet and device access. Urban areas fared better.
Barriers	Digital divide, high costs of premium platforms, and unregulated content quality.	Over- commercialization followed by stringent government restrictions, limiting platform flexibility.	High competition among platforms and potential oversaturation in urban markets.	Poor infrastructure, low digital literacy in rural areas, and affordability issues.
Adoption Drivers	Affordability of mobile data, rise in smartphone usage, and private-sector innovation.	Integration of online education into the public education system and strong venture capital funding pre-2021.	Technological readiness and pre-existing online education culture.	Regional language support and customization by platforms like Ruangguru helped overcome linguistic barriers.
Revenue Models	Freemium and subscription models dominated; free resources provided by NGOs and government underutilized.	Premium pricing initially; post-regulation, a shift to non-profit or minimally commercial models for K-12.	Mixed revenue models, including government-funded programs and paid premium content.	Focused on affordable pricing, with some reliance on government and donor funding for underserved areas.
Equity in Access	Low: Significant gaps for rural and marginalized communities. Urban areas benefited more.	Medium: Widespread access but inequalities persisted in rural regions.	High: Subsidized education ensured equitable access across socio-economic groups.	Low: Rural populations struggled, but regional efforts provided some relief.

Commercialization of Online Education Platforms During the Corona Period in India vs. Other Asian Countries

Kev highlights from Comparative Analysis

- **India** prioritized private-sector-led innovation but faced equity challenges due to a lack of robust government intervention and poor infrastructure in rural areas.
- **China** showed early success with its expansive market but later saw constrained growth due to strict regulatory policies.
- **South Korea** stood out as a balanced model, leveraging existing infrastructure and government backing for sustainable and equitable online education.
- **Indonesia** lagged in infrastructure but made strides with localized solutions tailored to its diverse population and regional languages.
- This comparative view underscores the need for tailored strategies that combine robust infrastructure development, inclusive policies, and innovative business models to ensure equitable access to online education.

DISCUSSION

The commercialization of online education platforms during the pandemic revealed stark disparities among Asian countries. While India and China capitalized on their massive user bases, regulatory challenges in China and infrastructural issues in India highlighted the need for balanced growth strategies. South Korea demonstrated a mature model with robust government support, while Indonesia showed potential through localized innovations.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The pandemic accelerated the adoption of online education across Asia, with varying degrees of success. For sustainable growth:

- **India**: Address the digital divide and ensure affordable access.
- China: Balance market growth with quality control and equitable access.
- **South Korea**: Maintain government-backed initiatives to support underprivileged students.
- **Indonesia**: Invest in digital infrastructure to bridge the urban-rural gap.

REFERENCES

World Bank. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19 on Education in Asia.

UNESCO. (2021). Digital Learning During the Pandemic: Challenges and Opportunities.

EdTech Review. (2020). India's EdTech Industry Trends and Growth.

China Ministry of Education. (2021). Policy Responses to Online Education Growth.

Deloitte Insights. (2020). The Digital Future of Education in Asia.

Ruangguru Reports. (2021). Online Education Trends in Indonesia.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Kumar, N. & Kumari, R. (2023). Commercialization of Online Education Platforms During the Corona Period in India vs. Other Asian Countries. *International Journal of Social Impact*, 8(2), 109-112. DIP: 18.02.012/20230802, DOI: 10.25215/2455/0802012