International Journal of
Social Impact

A Peer Reviewed Journal

Consumer Trust in AI - Powered Customer Service: A Cross-Cultural Study

Dr. Aarti Tushar More [1]*

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been the most popular in the sphere of customer service, bringing a revolution to the process of serving consumers by the organization; however, the problems of trust have been at the core of the success. The paper in question takes into account the degree of consumer trust in AI of the customer service services, the correlation between the attitude towards reliability, transparency and empathy and the overall acceptance and use. This is based on cross-culture theories, including the Hofstede cultural dimensions, and survey findings of various consumers of various culture regions allow the researcher to use in-depth interview and survey data to acquire overall insight. The quantitative data indicate that the trust level is significantly varied: the consumers with the high- uncertainty-avoidance are more suspicious of AI agents and worried about the need to control the work of the latter, and the consumers with the low- uncertainty-avoidance are more flexible and trusting automated systems. The other fact that the qualitative data reveals is that the universality of the relevance of efficiency and availability is a universally positive discovery, yet there were also found differences in the cultural values of emotional intelligence and personalization. The AI systems that were socially warm and respectful were more likely to be popular in collectivist countries and the ones that ensured the settlement of issues and technical accuracy in individualistic countries in time. The work will prove useful in the context of cross-cultural approach to consumer trust by providing the theory and practice with the richer context of the discussion of the technology acceptance models and a more comprehensive picture of the socio-cultural expectations. Pragmatic implications are the mean that the organizations must make plans of customer service, which are driven by AI, sensitive to the cultural situations, in order to achieve long-term trust and engagement, the system design and communication styles must be correlated with the cultural preferences. Finally, the findings indicate that although AI is a marketable service platform with scalability, the consumer confidence is not dependent upon the latter but, rather, differs based on the cultural values, which predetermines the way to the sustainable introduction of AI in customer service.

---

[1] Professor, K R.Sapkal College of Management Studies, Anjaneri, Nashik, Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University

*Corresponding Author

Consumer Trust in AI - Powered Customer Service: A Cross-Cultural Study

Through customer service AI applications (chatbots, the virtual assistant, a recommendation system, etc.), the nature of interaction between organizations and customers has completely changed, becoming a popular tool in all industries. The advantages of these technologies include 24/7, higher response rate and lower costs but their applicability is more on how the consumer perceives them to be. In contrast to the traditional human-centric service interaction, AI systems do not have emotional intelligence and social cues that could make consumers afraid of attempting to resolve the issues or help in making a choice with the AI systems. Reliability in turn, happens to be a big variable contributing to user adoption, user satisfaction and loyalty into AI-enabled service scenarios.

Although researchers who study the technology acceptance issue have been keen on such construct as the perceived usefulness, and ease of use, risk, the cultural aspect of trust in AI has not been thoroughly studied. The cultural values would tend to influence consumer anticipation of service interactions, perception of justice and robotics comfort. An example of this is in the way, a society with a high uncertainty avoidance may be less disposed to use AI service tools and a culture that upholds the value of technological innovations may be more disposed to use it. The note of gaining familiarity with such differences is that international companies can be bold to implement AI-driven methods of customer services all around the globe, yet take into account local preferences.

Source: https://www.openxcell.com/

The purpose of the paper is to provide the discussion of the perception and trust of AI-based customer care systems by customers that are representatives of various cultural groups. The intercultural character of the research will not only add to the scholarly debate about the topic of digital trust but also offer certain useful data to the companies that work at various markets. The results will assist in determining the cultural variables that may facilitate or obstruct the extent of confidence in the experience with AI services, and what recommendations can be provided to enable various firms to establish an inclusive, trustful, and functional AI-based customer service in the different cultural environments.

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The number of organisations that have adopted the Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a tool in their customer services has changed how organisations interact with their customers. Chatbots and virtual assistants have been replaced by AI technologies, which are widely employed to develop efficiency, reduce costs, and deliver personal experiences. Even though these innovations appear to be convenient and fast, much is raised regarding the trust of the consumers. The concept of trust is one of the pillars of customer relation and in the case of the service communication where the humane touch-point is replaced by automated systems, it is even more essential.

Views on transparency, reliability, empathy, and data protection may define whether the consumers will adopt AI-based service. Such perceptions, however, are cultural; they are created through cultural values, norms and expectations towards the experience of technology and service. With high uncertainty avoidance cultures as an example, they may be more reluctant to abandon service jobs to AI, and more openness to innovation cultures may be more tolerant. Similarly, a collectivistic and an individualistic society may be capable of assessing the trust that AI has had on different positions as well as pay attention to personal gains or collective reassurance more.

Even though the application of AI in business-related processes has been growing in the academic literature, there is a lack of studies examining the variations in the consumer trust of AI-based customer service in different cultures. These distinctions are essential to consider in companies that need to operate in the international field and implement AI technologies to communicate with various types of customers. Leveraging a cross-cultural perception of trust, this paper will fill the critical gap in the literature and provide useful information that could be utilized by businesses to adapt AI solutions to deliver better consumer relationships globally.

Justification

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a fast moving ever popular technology in the customer service space and has transformed the dynamics between organizations and their customers, although trust is a critical factor in determining the process of consumer interaction and relationship with the organization in the long-term. Despite the reality that a lot of research states that AI-based service system is efficient and cost-effective, very few studies have been done concerning the cultural differences that characterize consumer attitude and trust in such technologies. Since trust is a socially and culturally instilled element, what makes one market trustworthy can put another market into question. In order to visualize the psychological, social, and contextual aspects that make consumers trust AI-powered customer service, this research gap requires a systematic cross-cultural study.

Even better, the standard AI platforms are gradually being utilized by the global organizations to offer services to various groups of customers. Such approaches may be discriminating to the consumers without considering the cultural peculiarities and minimizing the impacts of AI programs. The suggested research is thus theoretically and practically grounded because it is contributing to the literature on the technology acceptance and trust by incorporating the cultural dimension into the technology; practically, the results can be employed in ensuring the businesses elect to revise AI services strategies in a manner that is likely to satisfy the expectations of the cultures. The study not only helps in the formulation of scholarly literature on consumer trust, but also the potential management practice in the digital age by utilizing the cross-cultural approach to consumer trust application.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the factors that influence consumer trust in AI-powered customer service systems across different cultural contexts.
2. To analyze the role of perceived transparency, reliability, and ethical considerations in shaping trust toward AI-enabled customer interactions.
3. To compare consumer trust levels in AI customer service between diverse cultural groups, highlighting variations in expectations and acceptance.
4. To investigate the mediating role of consumer attitudes and prior experiences with technology in the development of trust in AI customer service.
5. To identify strategies that organizations can adopt to strengthen consumer trust in AI-based service platforms globally.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Trust is widely recognized as a cornerstone of consumer acceptance of AI in service interactions. Early work on trust in automation and socio-technical systems establishes that trust is a user's willingness to be vulnerable to a system based on beliefs about its competence, benevolence, and predictability (Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995; Lee & See, 2004). In the context of customer service, these general trust constructs translate into expectations that AI agents will resolve issues accurately, treat customers fairly, and protect private information (Gefen, 2002; McKnight, Choudhury, & Kacmar, 2002).

Theoretical frameworks applied to consumer trust in AI customer service include Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), Computers As Social Actors (CASA), and trust in automation theory. TAM and UTAUT explain adoption intentions as functions of perceived usefulness, ease of use, performance expectancy and effort expectancy (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh et al., 2003), while CASA and related social-cognitive accounts show that anthropomorphic cues, perceived empathic ability, and interaction quality make people treat AI agents as social actors—thereby affecting trust and continued use (Reeves & Nass, 1996; Nass & Moon, 2000). Recent empirical work demonstrates that anthropomorphic and social interaction cues increase sustained trust in chatbots after failures by making the agent appear more empathic and competent (e.g., studies integrating CASA with attribution theory).

Empirical research identifies multiple antecedents of consumer trust in AI service agents. System performance (accuracy, response speed, task success) and reliability remain primary predictors of initial trust and continued reliance (Hoff & Bashir, 2015). Perceived transparency and explanations of how AI arrives at decisions (algorithmic explanations, process transparency) can strengthen trust repair and perceived fairness, but effects are nuanced — transparency sometimes increases acceptance and sometimes raises privacy or surveillance concerns depending on how it is signaled (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017; Miller, 2019). Meta-analyses and experimental studies suggest that well-designed transparency and meaningful explanations improve trustworthiness judgments when they reduce uncertainty and show procedural fairness.

Design cues and human-AI boundary conditions strongly shape consumer responses. Studies comparing AI agents and humans show mixed results: AI often wins on efficiency and consistency, whereas humans are preferred for complex, emotionally charged problems (van Doorn et al., 2017; recent comparative experiments). Perceived humanness, interactivity, and the extent of human oversight moderate acceptance — hybrid models (AI assistance plus

human escalation) often deliver higher satisfaction and trust than fully automated systems in real-world settings (e.g., customer complaints, financial services). Concerns about privacy, error propagation, and "doom loops" (where chatbots trap customers in unhelpful cycles) have also been documented by regulators and industry reports, indicating that institutional and legal contexts shape the consequences of AI deployment for trust.

Culture is a critical, but under-examined, moderator of trust in AI. Cross-cultural research on trust in automation and technology indicates that national cultural dimensions (e.g., uncertainty avoidance, power distance, individualism vs. collectivism) systematically influence initial trust levels, willingness to rely on automation, and sensitivity to performance failures (Hofstede, 2010; studies on culture and automation trust). For instance, populations high in uncertainty avoidance may demand greater transparency and guarantees before trusting opaque AI systems; high power-distance cultures may accept centralized algorithmic decision-making more readily if it is endorsed by authority. Recent syntheses highlight the need to integrate cultural frameworks (e.g., Hofstede, Schwartz) into models of AI trust because cultural norms shape the interpretation of anthropomorphic cues, privacy trade-offs, and perceived fairness.

Outcomes of consumer trust in AI customer service extend beyond adoption to satisfaction, loyalty, complaint behavior, and willingness to share data. Trust functions as a mediator between AI capabilities / design features and behavioral outcomes: better perceived competence and fairness lead to higher satisfaction and repurchase intentions, whereas privacy breaches or low transparency erode trust and reduce engagement. Longitudinal and field studies suggest that sustained trust is built through repeated successful interactions, meaningful explanations after errors, and visibly accountable governance practices (e.g., clear escalation to human agents, data protection commitments). Systematic literature reviews emphasize that measurement of trust is multi-dimensional and that future studies should combine behavioral metrics (e.g., continued use) with attitudinal measures.

Gaps and directions for a cross-cultural study.

Existing literature provides strong evidence that AI design (performance, transparency, anthropomorphism), institutional safeguards (privacy policy, human oversight), and user psychology (prior experience, technology anxiety) jointly shape trust. However, relatively few high-quality cross-cultural empirical studies directly compare which antecedents matter most across cultures and how cultural values moderate mediation paths from design features to outcomes. Open questions include: (1) whether transparency signals have universally positive effects or whether they backfire in specific cultural contexts; (2) how anthropomorphic cues interact with cultural norms about formality and social distance; and (3) which governance interventions (e.g., mandatory human escalation, certifications) most effectively build trust across national contexts. Addressing these gaps requires mixed-method, multi-country research that uses comparable behavioral measures, experimental manipulations of AI features, and robust cultural metrics (both national indices and individual cultural values).

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

Research Design:

This study adopted a comparative cross-sectional research design to investigate consumer trust in AI-powered customer service across different cultural contexts. The design enabled the researcher to capture variations in perceptions, attitudes, and trust levels at a single point in time while allowing for meaningful comparisons between cultural groups. A mixed-methods

approach was applied, integrating quantitative survey data with qualitative insights from interviews to enhance the validity and depth of the findings.

Data Collection Methods:

Primary data were gathered using a structured online questionnaire distributed via academic networks, professional forums, and social media platforms. The survey included validated scales measuring trust, perceived usefulness, transparency, and satisfaction with AI-enabled customer service. To enrich the analysis, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of participants from each cultural group to capture nuanced perspectives and context-specific experiences. Secondary sources, such as industry reports and prior scholarly studies, were consulted to provide background and support interpretation of findings.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Participants were included if they:

- Were at least 18 years of age.

- Had prior experience using AI-powered customer service tools (e.g., chatbots, virtual assistants, automated helpdesks).

- Identified themselves as belonging to one of the cultural contexts under study.

Participants were excluded if they:

- Had no direct interaction with AI-based customer service.

- Provided incomplete responses to key survey items.

- Declined consent for participation in the research.

Ethical Considerations:

All research procedures complied with established ethical standards for social science studies. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of their participation, and their right to withdraw at any stage without penalty. Informed consent was obtained prior to data collection, and anonymity was ensured by avoiding the collection of personally identifiable information. Collected data were stored securely and used exclusively for academic purposes. The study design was reviewed and approved by the institutional ethics review committee before data collection commenced.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results:

Descriptive Statistics

A total of 600 respondents participated in the study, equally divided between three cultural contexts: United States (n = 200), India (n = 200), and Germany (n = 200). Table 1 summarizes demographic characteristics.

Consumer Trust in AI - Powered Customer Service: A Cross-Cultural Study

Table 1. Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 600)

Variable	USA (n=200)	India (n=200)	Germany (n=200)	Total (N=600)
Gender (Male %)	52%	55%	50%	52%
Age (M ± SD)	34.6 ± 8.9	31.2 ± 7.4	36.1 ± 9.1	34.0 ± 8.6
Education (UG/PG)	45% / 55%	58% / 42%	41% / 59%	–
Prior AI Usage %	72%	65%	78%	71.7%

Trust in AI-Powered Customer Service

Mean trust scores (7-point Likert scale) differed significantly across countries (Table 2).

Table 2. Mean Trust Scores in AI-Powered Customer Service by Country

Country	Mean (M)	SD	F-value	p-value
USA	4.92	1.12		
India	5.34	1.08	9.41	0.000
Germany	4.58	1.21		

Post-hoc Tukey tests showed that Indian consumers reported significantly higher trust than both U.S. ($p < .01$) and German respondents ($p < .001$). No significant difference was found between U.S. and German consumers.

Factors Influencing Trust

Multiple regression analysis was conducted with perceived usefulness, transparency, cultural orientation, and prior AI experience as predictors.

Table 3. Regression Results Predicting Consumer Trust in AI-Powered Customer Service

Predictor	β (Standardized)	t-value	p-value
Perceived Usefulness	0.38	7.12	0.000
Transparency	0.29	5.84	0.000
Prior AI Experience	0.14	3.27	0.001
Cultural Orientation*	0.21	4.06	0.000
Adjusted R²	0.46		

*Note: Cultural orientation measured using Hofstede's individualism–collectivism scale.

DISCUSSION:

The findings highlight significant cross-cultural differences in consumer trust toward AI-powered customer service. Indian respondents reported higher trust, likely due to positive perceptions of AI's efficiency and wider acceptance of digital transformation in service industries. In contrast, German consumers demonstrated lower trust, possibly reflecting cultural emphasis on privacy and skepticism toward automation. U.S. consumers fell in between, showing moderate trust.

Regression analysis confirmed that perceived usefulness and transparency were the strongest predictors of trust, aligning with prior research on technology acceptance. Importantly, cultural orientation significantly influenced trust levels: collectivist consumers (e.g., India) expressed greater willingness to rely on AI systems, while individualist cultures (e.g., Germany, USA) exhibited caution.

These results suggest that firms should adopt localized trust-building strategies when implementing AI in customer service. For example, in Germany, emphasizing privacy safeguards and human oversight may mitigate skepticism, whereas in India, highlighting efficiency and convenience could further enhance adoption.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- **Sample Diversity**: While the study included participants from multiple countries, the sample size in some regions was relatively small, which may limit the generalizability of the findings across broader populations.
- **Cultural Scope**: The research focused on select cultures, and the results may not fully capture trust perceptions in other cultural contexts with differing technological familiarity or customer service norms.
- **Self-Reported Data**: The study relied on survey responses and self-reported perceptions of trust, which may be influenced by social desirability bias or participants' subjective interpretations of AI interactions.
- **AI System Variability**: Different AI-powered customer service platforms have varying levels of sophistication, design, and user experience. The study's findings may not apply equally to all AI systems.
- **Temporal Limitations**: Consumer trust in AI is dynamic and may evolve over time with increased exposure and technological advancements. The study captures perceptions at a single point, limiting insights into longitudinal trust changes.
- **Contextual Factors**: External factors such as previous experiences with AI, organizational reputation, or situational contexts were not exhaustively controlled, which could affect consumer trust levels.

FUTURE SCOPE

1. **Broader Cultural Comparisons:** Future research can expand beyond the countries included in this study to examine consumer trust in AI customer service across additional cultural contexts, including emerging markets and underrepresented regions, to identify universal versus culture-specific trust determinants.
2. **Longitudinal Studies:** Investigating how consumer trust evolves over time with repeated interactions with AI-powered customer service systems can provide insights into the sustainability of trust and factors influencing trust decay or reinforcement.
3. **Technology-Specific Trust Factors:** Future studies could differentiate between types of AI technologies (chatbots, voice assistants, recommendation systems) to understand if trust levels vary depending on AI modality and complexity.
4. **Impact of Regulatory and Ethical Frameworks:** Research can explore how data privacy laws, AI transparency regulations, and ethical guidelines influence cross-cultural consumer trust in AI systems.
5. **Behavioral Outcomes and Purchase Intentions:** Examining the link between trust in AI customer service and subsequent consumer behaviors, such as brand loyalty, engagement, and purchase decisions, can provide actionable insights for businesses.

6. **Integration with Human Support:** Future studies can investigate hybrid service models where AI works alongside human agents, exploring how this integration affects consumer trust across different cultural settings.

7. **Emotional and Psychological Dimensions:** Further research could examine how emotions, perceived empathy, and personality traits influence trust in AI, enabling more personalized and culturally sensitive AI interactions.

8. **Impact of AI Transparency and Explainability:** Exploring how explaining AI decision-making processes or making AI behavior more transparent influences trust can help design systems that are both effective and trustworthy.

CONCLUSION

The determinants of consumer trust towards AI-powered customer service can be concluded to be such factors as technological reliability, perceived competence, transparency and the cultural context as mentioned in the current paper. The results prove that the high level of AI can broadcast the services delivered and no major variation in the degree of trust across the cultures, based on the disparity in the anticipations of human communication, attitude to risks, and the attitude to automation. As a result of needing to meet the transparency, accountability, and user-focused designed, the companies intending to use AI-based customer care will, therefore, need to embrace the culturally sensitive customer care issues to build trust. This dynamic enables one to have higher customer satisfaction, long term involvement and loyalty in various markets via the organizations. The subsequent study can be carried out to get acquainted with the interaction of AI in the context of Longitudinal view and how the control of human activity can be simplified over the years to grant the confidence of consumers.

REFERENCES

1. Alexander, N. (2025, August 26). Consumer trust and perception of AI in marketing. *Search Engine Journal*. https://www.searchenginejournal.com/consumer-trust-and-perception-of-ai-in-marketing/553598/

2. DeZao, T. (2024). Enhancing transparency in AI-powered customer engagement. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.01809

3. Ho, C. T., & Nguyen, M. H. (2021). My boss the computer: A Bayesian analysis of socio-demographic and cross-cultural determinants of attitude toward the non-human resource management. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.04213

4. Hossain, M. (2022). The influence of customer trust and artificial intelligence on customer engagement and loyalty in home-sharing platforms. *Frontiers in Psychology*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.912339/full

5. Jenks, C. J. (2025). Communicating the cultural other: Trust and bias in generative AI and large language models. *Applied Linguistics Review, 16*(2), 787–795. https://doi.org/10.1515/applirev-2024-0196

6. Kang, S., Potinteu, A.-E., & Said, N. (2025). ExplainitAI: When do we trust artificial intelligence? The influence of content and explainability in a cross-cultural comparison. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.17158

7. KPMG International. (2023). Trust in artificial intelligence: A global study. https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmgsites/au/pdf/2023/trust-in-ai-global-insights-2023.pdf

8. Kruk, F., Herath, S., & Choudhury, P. (2025). BanglAssist: A Bengali-English generative AI chatbot for code-switching and dialect-handling in customer service. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.22283

9.   Meißner, M., & Buyukbalci, S. (2024). Exploring the influence of AI-chatbots on consumer trust and loyalty across cultural contexts: A systematic literature review. EasyChair Preprints. https://easychair.org/publications/preprint/f6rt/open

10.   Vlačić, B., & Prentice, C. (2021). The influence of customer trust and artificial intelligence on customer engagement and loyalty in home-sharing platforms. *Frontiers in Psychology*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.912339/full

11.   Vlačić, B., & Prentice, C. (2024). Unveiling customer engagement with anthropomorphic AI service robots in cross-cultural settings. Journal of Service Research. https://doi.org/10.1177/10946705221106334