

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

Kumudini Sahu <sup>1\*</sup>, Prerana Prada Padhan <sup>2</sup>, Arif Ahmed <sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Digital infrastructure fundamentally determines educational opportunity, participation, and fairness in rural India. This chapter examines how digital infrastructure, comprising connectivity, devices, electricity, content, and support systems, functions not as a neutral input but as a predictor of access to learning. The chapter analyzes critical implementation challenges, including physical and technological constraints, cost-effectiveness, teacher readiness, sociocultural resistance, and fragmented governance. India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and National Curriculum Framework for School Education (NCFSE) 2023 signify paradigmatic policy shifts integrating digital infrastructure within inclusion and equity frameworks. Drawing on policy analysis and evidence, the chapter proposes six design principles for inclusive digital transformation: infrastructure as a public good, contextualized multilingual content, teacher-centred capacity building, gender-sensitive approaches, and interoperable systems. Practical solutions include layered connectivity, shared-device models, embedded teacher support, and inclusive content ecosystems. The chapter emphasizes that sustainable digital inclusion requires systemic change across central, state, district, and school levels, with particular attention to teacher leadership, school culture, and outcome-based accountability rather than ephemeral technological solutions.

**Keywords:** *Digital infrastructure, Rural education, Inclusion and equity, NEP 2020, Digital divide, Assistive technology, Sustainable implementation*

### 1. Digital Infrastructure and Inclusion: Foundational Concepts

Digital infrastructure, devices, reliable electricity, internet access, locally relevant content, and support systems function not as a neutral input but as a fundamental determinant of who can learn and which pedagogies are possible. The rural-urban connectivity divide, driven primarily by market-led decisions of Mobile Network Operators prioritizing urban profitability, creates structural inequities. During crises like COVID-19, connectivity shaped whether rural learners continued their education or were systematically excluded. Any inclusion strategy treating digital tools as mere add-ons fails unless infrastructure is designed with equity as a first-order goal.

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (C.G.), India

<sup>2</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (C.G.), India

<sup>3</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (C.G.), India

\*Corresponding Email: kumudini.sahu95@gmail.com

**Received: November 02, 2025; Revision Received: November 15, 2025; Accepted: December 20, 2025**

© 2025 | Author; licensee IJSI. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 2. Implementation Challenges in Rural Settings

### 2.1 Physical and Technological Constraints

Rural areas face interlocked infrastructural problems: intermittent electricity, low device ownership, limited internet bandwidth, and inadequate maintenance and technical support. These constraints are structural, driven by geography and market dynamics, requiring investments beyond individual school procurement. Without foundational infrastructure, planned digital pedagogies remain aspirational.

**Table 1** *Physical and Technological Constraints*

Infrastructure Component	Rural Context	Urban Context	Impact on Inclusion
Electricity Reliability	Intermittent/unreliable (5-10 hours daily)	Continuous/reliable (24 hours)	Rural schools cannot sustain digital operations
Internet Connectivity	24% household access	66% household access	Massive rural-urban digital divide
Device Ownership	Low (10-15% per household)	High (50-70% per household)	Students lack personal devices for learning
Technical Support	Minimal/distant (district level)	Readily available (local)	Equipment failures create prolonged downtime
Infrastructure Sustainability	Limited maintenance budgets	Adequate resources	Equipment becomes non-functional within 2-3 years

*Source: Author's compilation based on literature on digital divide in education*

### 2.2 Affordability and Sustainability

Initial device provision through government or NGO initiatives proves insufficient without sustained financing for connectivity costs, device repair, and recurrent training. Short-term grant-funded projects dissipate when funding ends. Sustainable financial models must incorporate operations, maintenance, and lifecycle costs beyond capital purchases.

### 2.3 Teacher Capacity and Digital Pedagogy

Rural teachers frequently lack formal digital pedagogy training, creating uneven adoption and low pedagogical depth despite device availability. Teachers learn through trial-and-error, generating workload and self-efficacy concerns. NEP 2020's requirement for digital pedagogical competency remains insufficient without scaled, context-sensitive continuous professional development including hands-on mentoring and peer communities.

### 2.4 Sociocultural Resistance and Inclusion Risks

Parents worry about excessive screen time; gender norms restrict girls' device access; digital content lacks local language materials; communities distrust technology perceived as teacher replacement. Poorly designed programs can worsen inequality for learners without devices,

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

quiet study spaces, or parental support. Inclusive design must anticipate how social variables affect implementation.

### 2.5 Fragmented Governance and Systems Barriers

Multiple entities (central ministries, state governments, NGOs) operate separate pilot programs with inconsistent data standards and evaluation frameworks. This fragmentation produces siloed systems, duplication, and scaling barriers. NEP 2020 proposes institutional coordination mechanisms, but cross-level systems change pathways remain unclear.

### 3. Design Principles for Inclusive Digital Transformation

Sustainable digital inclusion requires six interlocking design principles moving from ad-hoc projects to systemic change:

**Table 2** *Design Principles for Inclusive Digital Transformation*

Design Principle	Definition	Implementation Strategy	Expected Outcome
1. Infrastructure as Public Good	Treat connectivity and digital assets as permanent public infrastructure with dedicated O&M budgets	Ring-fenced conditional grants; state budget line allocation	Prevents one-time gains from evaporating; ensures continuity
2. Contextualized Multilingual Content	Prioritize local-language resources and low-bandwidth modalities	SMS/IVR platforms; offline content caches; local translation teams	Participation without continuous broadband; cultural relevance
3. Teacher-Centered Capacity Building	Align professional development with workloads through blended models	Mentorship; micro-certifications; recognition systems	Embeds digital pedagogy into routine practice; reduces burnout
4. Gender- and Equity-Sensitive Approaches	Design explicitly addressing caste and gender gaps	Device-lending prioritizing girls; women-focused literacy drives	Narrows gender-caste digital divides; increases girls' access
5. Interoperable Systems	Adopt open standards; minimal data-collection practices	Common API standards; DIKSHA platform integration	Resource portability; data protection for vulnerable learners
6. Community Participation	Establish community oversight; transparent governance	Community committees; public dashboards; participatory planning	Local ownership; equitable resource distribution

*Note.* Author's conceptual framework based on literature on digital inclusion, EdTech policy, and equity in education.

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

### 4. Practical Solutions and Scalable Models

#### 4.1 Layered Connectivity and Low-Bandwidth Design

Combined approaches address rural broadband gaps: school broadband plus community Wi-Fi hubs, offline content servers, and mobile-optimized low-data resources significantly increase reach. Low-bandwidth-first design (text-first, lightweight media) proves particularly effective in rural contexts.

#### 4.2 Shared-Device and Community-Access Models

Device-sharing programs combining school-lent tablets with community learning centers and scheduled access for disadvantaged groups expand effective reach. Such models require planned maintenance, solar charging solutions for unreliable electricity, and clear community ownership ensuring sustainability.

#### 4.3 Embedded Teacher Support

Deploying regional digital-pedagogy coaches visiting school clusters provides classroom modelling and micro-certifications aligned with NEP 2020. Virtual peer communities via WhatsApp or forums scale mentorship through locally adapted resources. Blended continuous professional development shows higher adoption when coaching combines with classroom follow-up.

#### 4.4 Inclusive Content Ecosystems

Supporting local teacher-led resource development through grants, university-NGO partnerships for localization, and remixable offline-packaged repositories broadens accessibility. Multimodal content (audio-first for low-literacy contexts, pictorial interfaces for early grades) expands reach.

### 5. Monitoring for Inclusion: Metrics Beyond Devices

Inclusive digital infrastructure requires metrics transcending device counts. Essential indicators include: proportion of students with regular content access (disaggregated by gender and socioeconomic status), teacher digital-pedagogy competency gains, local-language content update frequency, hardware downtime and repair response times, and learning outcomes for vulnerable groups. Routine, publicly accessible district-level dashboards create accountability loops driving systems improvement.

**Table 3** *Monitoring for Inclusion: Metrics Beyond Devices*

Monitoring Dimension	Key Indicators	Data Collection Method	Frequency	Responsibility
Access & Equity	% students with device/connectivity by gender, caste	UDISE+ data; school surveys	Annually	District education office
Teacher Capacity	% teachers with digital pedagogy certification	Training records; classroom observations	Quarterly	State resource centers

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

Monitoring Dimension	Key Indicators	Data Collection Method	Frequency	Responsibility
Content Localization	% curriculum materials in local languages	Content audit; teacher feedback	Biannually	State curriculum divisions
Infrastructure Functionality	Hardware uptime %; repair response time (days)	Maintenance logs; technical surveys	Monthly	School technology coordinators
Learning Outcomes	Achievement gains for vulnerable groups; digital literacy competency	Assessments; competency mapping	Quarterly	Teachers; assessment specialists
Inclusion Quality	Student participation rates; peer relationships; belonging	Classroom observations; student surveys	Ongoing	Teachers; counselors

*Note.* Author's framework developed based on review of digital inclusion, monitoring and evaluation, and educational data systems literature.

### 6. Policy Context: NEP 2020 and NCFSE 2023

#### 6.1 Policy Framework and Teacher Leadership

NEP 2020 provides comprehensive framework foregrounding inclusion across curricular, infrastructural, and governance domains, explicitly mandating barrier-free infrastructure, curriculum adaptations, and teacher training responding to learner diversity. The NCFSE 2023 operationalizes NEP 2020 goals through curricular principles emphasizing contextualized curricula, formative assessments, and pedagogies built around equity and learner agency.

Inclusive schooling is fundamentally cultural, not merely infrastructural. School culture, shared values, expectations, and relationships, determines whether policy becomes practice. Teacher leadership functions (instructional leadership, peer mentoring, classroom innovation, community engagement) are central to transforming cultures toward belonging and differentiated support. Roles include: leading classroom-inclusive practices through differentiated instruction; mentoring peers in accessible pedagogy and assistive device usage; advocating for infrastructure; and building partnerships with parents and community services.

#### 6.2 Barriers in Rural, Large, Under-Resourced Classrooms

Rural Indian classrooms present multiple interacting barriers: (1) Physical accessibility deficits, ramps, accessible toilets, tactile signage remain uneven; (2) Digital divide, many rural schools lack functional internet, computers, and learner devices; (3) Human resource constraints, teacher shortages and multi-grade classes limit differentiated support; (4) Resource gaps, maintenance, assistive device procurement, and training funding are chronic deficits; (5) Cultural barriers, stigma and low expectations impede participation.

#### 6.3 Assistive Technology and Digital Infrastructure

Assistive Technologies, ranging from low-tech (large-print materials, modified desks) to high-tech (screen readers, augmentative communication devices), offer promise for learners with disabilities. However, rural AT integration requires realistic, affordable solutions with local

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

capacity building. Critical considerations include: selecting AT matching local problems and repairable locally; ensuring teacher preparedness through hands-on training and classroom coaching; planning digital infrastructure prerequisites (electricity, bandwidth, maintenance, data ethics); developing cost-reducing financing models (pooled procurement, public-private partnerships); and establishing local maintenance capacity, preventing rapid obsolescence.

### 7. Multi-Level Policy Action Framework

**Table 4** *Synchronized action across governance levels*

<b>Governance Level</b>	<b>Key Responsibilities</b>	<b>Implementation Actions</b>	<b>Success Indicators</b>
Central (Union)	Set standards; finance inclusion; establish national systems	Normative accessibility guidelines; conditional inclusion grants; OER repositories	National AT standards established; central funding mechanisms operational
State Level	Translate policies into state plans; build capacity; allocate budgets	State curriculum integration; teacher education reform; budget lines for AT/accessibility	State inclusion policies implemented; teacher training completed; budgets allocated
District Level	Operationalize programs; manage procurement; support schools	AT hubs; pooled procurement; mentoring programs; UDISE+ monitoring	Procurement efficiency; school support delivery; data systems functional
School Level	Implement inclusive practices; build cultures; engage communities	Accessibility audits; differentiated instruction; peer learning communities	Inclusive classroom practices, improved achievement, and belonging measures

*Note. The author's conceptual framework is based on a review of digital inclusion and multi-level governance in education.*

### 8. Implementation Solutions Addressing Key Barriers

**Infrastructure Financing:** Ring-fenced conditional grants for accessibility; pooled district procurement reducing costs; community labor contributions; transparent tendering with local providers.

**Digital Access Gaps:** Phased digital readiness indices (electricity → devices → internet → LMS); offline resources on local servers; solar-powered devices; telecom subsidies for school connectivity.

**Teacher Capacity Deficits:** Inclusive pedagogy and AT modules in pre-service education; continuous in-service mentorship; micro-certifications; blended coaching models.

**Stigma and Low Expectations:** School-based awareness campaigns, curriculum units on diversity per NCFSE, and parent engagement shifting community norms.

**Equipment Maintenance:** District technology hubs, locally trained technicians, warranty/service contracts including spare parts, and dedicated maintenance budget lines.

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

### 9. Critical Analysis: Policy Meets Ground Reality

NEP 2020 and NCFSE 2023 mark paradigmatic shifts from segregation-oriented to inclusive, learner-centered schooling. Yet three key tensions shape outcomes:

1. Normative Clarity vs. Fiscal and Administrative Capacity: Policies are normatively strong but depend on state and district capacities and budgets for realization. Decentralization without capacity building risks uneven implementation.
2. Technology Optimism vs. Rural Realities: NEP's digital ambitions must calibrate to rural constraints, intermittent electricity, low internet penetration, limited teacher digital fluency. "Leapfrogging" without preparatory investments may widen inequities. ASER and UDISE+ data urge phased, contextualized digital inclusion.
3. Training Programs vs. Sustained Professional Learning: One-off training proves insufficient. NEP's continuous professional development model requires institutional mechanisms (teacher learning centers, micro-credentials) and practice-change incentives.

### 10. Implications for Teacher Education, Policy, and Practice

**Teacher Education Reform:** Pre-service curricula must embed inclusive pedagogy, multi-grade strategies, and assistive technology modules; in-service systems must provide sustained coaching, classroom observation, and feedback cycles.

**Financing:** Central conditional grants targeting accessibility and assistive technology, matched by state funds with district pooled procurement and maintenance budgets, improve economies of scale and sustain equipment.

**Data and Accountability:** Strengthen UDISE+ indicators for accessibility, assistive technology stock, and functional digital resources; publish state/district dashboards informing resource allocation.

**Community Engagement:** Leverage parent-teacher associations and civil society for awareness, co-funding accessibility works, and local maintenance arrangements.

**Research and Evaluation:** Commission rigorous mixed-methods implementation studies testing models (teacher coaching + assistive technology + infrastructure) across diverse states and school levels.

### Conclusion

Moving toward systemic reforms centering inclusion in India's rural education system requires transitioning from pilot programs to systems change. This demands sustained public infrastructure investments, sustainable financing models, context-relevant teacher development, open-access content ecosystems, and governance systems holding systems accountable for equity outcomes. NEP 2020 and NCFSE 2023 provide foundational policy frameworks that, when operationalized through varied, context-sensitive implementation strategies, could transform poorly resourced rural classrooms into inclusive learning spaces. Success depends upon teacher leadership and school culture, appropriate assistive and digital technology integration, targeted funding at state and district levels, and strong implementation science. Data systems (UDISE+, ASER) indicate both progress and persistent gaps requiring data-driven priority-setting and rigorous implementation research. The pathway forward requires integrated strategy, advancing physical and digital access, investing substantially in

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

teacher development and leadership, promoting equipment sustainability and local repair capacity, and establishing clear accountability through transparent data systems and community involvement, rather than ephemeral technological quick-fixes.

### References

- ASER Centre. (2024). *Annual status of education report (rural) 2023: Beyond basics*. ASER Centre. <https://asercentre.org/>
- Canfield, C., Egbue, O., Hale, J., & Long, S. (2019). Opportunities and challenges for rural broadband infrastructure investment. *Scholars' Mine*. <https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/>
- Cruz, G., & Touchard, G. (2018). *Enabling rural coverage* [Technical Report]. GSMA. <https://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/resources/enabling-rural-coverage-report>
- Delgado Martín, A. V., & Larrú Ramos, J. M. (2022). DEIFDC framework: Evaluation of digital education deployment in India in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 6(1), 100281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2022.100281>
- Eppley, K. (2017). Rural science education as social justice. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 12(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-016-9751-7>
- Gray, L., Thomas, N., & Lewis, L. (2010). *Teachers' use of educational technology in US public schools: 2009* (NCES Report 2010-040). National Center for Education Statistics. <https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2010/2010040.pdf>
- Handal, B., Watson, K., Petocz, P., & Maher, M. (2018). Choosing to teach in rural and remote schools: The zone of free movement. *Education Research and Perspectives*, 45, 1–32.
- International Telecommunication Union. (2021). *Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2021*. ITU.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India. [https://www.education.gov.in/pmmeasures/NEP\\_Final\\_English\\_0.pdf](https://www.education.gov.in/pmmeasures/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf)
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2021). *PM eVIDYA: Comprehensive initiative for digital education in India*. <https://www.education.gov.in>
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). *DIKSHA: Digital infrastructure for knowledge sharing—Annual report*.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). *UDISE+ 2021–22 report on school education in India*. <https://udiseplus.gov.in>
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). *UDISE+ 2021-22: School Education Statistics*. UDISE+. [https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload\\_files/mhrd/files/statistics-new/udise\\_21\\_22.pdf](https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/statistics-new/udise_21_22.pdf)
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2023). *National Curriculum Framework for School Education (NCFSE)*. Government of India. [https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload\\_files/mhrd/files/infocus\\_slider/NCF-School-Education-Pre-Draft.pdf](https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/infocus_slider/NCF-School-Education-Pre-Draft.pdf)
- Mustafa, F., Thi, H., & Gao, X. A. (2024). The challenges and solutions of technology integration in rural schools: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 126, 102380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2024.102380>
- Nayak, K. V., & Alam, S. (2022). The digital divide, gender and education: Challenges for tribal youth in rural Jharkhand during COVID-19. *Decision*, 49(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40622-022-00315-y>
- NITI Aayog. (2022). *India's public digital infrastructure: Accelerating inclusive digital transformation*. Government of India.
- OECD. (2020). *Education governance in action: Lessons from case studies*. OECD Publishing.

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

- OECD. (2021). *OECD digital education outlook 2021: Pushing the frontiers with artificial intelligence, blockchain and robots*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/589b283f-en>
- OECD. (2022). *Education at a glance 2022: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/3197152b-en>
- Olanrewaju, G. S., Adebayo, S. B., Omotosho, A. Y., & Olajide, C. F. (2021). Left behind? The effects of digital gaps on e-learning in rural secondary schools. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 2, 100092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2021.100092>
- Roberts, E., Beel, D., Philip, L., & Townsend, L. (2017). Rural resilience in a digital society. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 54, 355–359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2017.06.010>
- Ryan, T., & Bagley, G. (2015). Nurturing the integration of technology in education. *Journal of Theory & Practice in Education*, 11(1), 33–50.
- S, A. R. (2023). Online training as a strategy for continuous professional development of teachers. *Indian Journal of Educational Technology*, 5(II), 237–247. <https://journals.ncert.gov.in/IJET/article/view/583>
- Samagra Shiksha Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2021). *Samagra Shiksha: Integrated scheme for school education*.
- Simpson, S. (2010). Governing information infrastructures and services in telecommunication s. *Aslib Proceedings*, 62(1), 46–56.
- The People's Archive of Rural India. (2022). *Digital divide: India inequality report 2022*. People's Archive of Rural India. <https://ruralindiaonline.org/>
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics. (2022). *UIS.Stat database*. <https://uis.unesco.org>
- UNESCO. (2021). *Digital transformation of education: From policy to practice*. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI and digital transformation in education*. UNESCO. <https://www.unesco.org>
- UNICEF. (2020). *How many children and young people have internet access at home? Estimating digital connectivity during the COVID-19 pandemic*. UNICEF.
- UNICEF. (2021). *Reimagining education: Digital learning for every child*. UNICEF.
- Vishnoi, A. (2025, August 28). India's school teacher count crosses 1 crore in 2024-25: UDISE data. *Economic Times*. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/services/education/indias-school-teacher-count-crosses-1-crore-in-2024-25-udise-data/articleshow/123571593.cms>
- World Bank. (2018). *World development report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2020). *Remote learning and COVID-19: The use of educational technologies at scale across an education system as a result of massive school closures*. World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org>
- World Bank. (2020). *SABER-EdTech: Systems approach for better education results—Educational technology*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2021). *The state of the global education crisis: A path to recovery*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2023). *Reimagining human connections: Technology and innovation in education*. World Bank.

### **Acknowledgments**

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The author declared no conflict of interest.

## From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education

***How to cite this article:*** Sahu, K., Padhan, P.P. & Ahmed, A. (2025). From Access to Equity: Reimagining Digital Infrastructure for Inclusive Education. *International Journal of Social Impact*, 10(4), 93-102. DIP: 18.02.709/20251004, DOI: 10.25215/2455/1004709