

Legal Education under the New Education Policy 2020: Opportunities and Challenges

Dr. M. J. Trada ^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Historically shaped by shifting socio-economic realities, the Indian educational landscape is undergoing a monumental shift toward a holistic, flexible, and multidisciplinary ecosystem under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. This transformation presents a unique institutional paradox for legal education. Traditionally bound by rigid professional norms, highly standardized curricula, and standalone institutional structures, legal education must now reconcile its professional obligations with the liberalized, interdisciplinary framework envisioned by the NEP. This study analyzes the specific policy dimensions of the NEP 2020 applicable to legal education, alongside the proposed structural overhauls for higher education institutions. It evaluates the critical opportunities the policy introduces—such as the integration of emerging legal technologies, bilingual instruction for localized justice access, and cross-disciplinary research frameworks—against the formidable operational challenges facing law schools. These challenges include navigating dual regulatory friction between the Bar Council of India (BCI) and overarching national education councils, adapting rigid curricula without diluting professional practice readiness, and modernizing institutional infrastructure. Ultimately, this paper formulates strategic, actionable measures for law schools and regulatory bodies to seamlessly integrate the policy's reformative objectives, ensuring that India's future legal professionals are globally competitive, technologically adept, and deeply grounded in foundational constitutional values.

Keywords: *Legal Education Reform, National Education Policy (NEP 2020), Multidisciplinary Learning, Bar Council of India (BCI), Higher Education Institutional Structures, Curriculum Flexibility, Professional Regulatory Standards, Socio-Economic Educational Transformations*

Education reform in India has historically responded to socio-economic transformations. With globalization, digitalization, and evolving governance structures, legal education must adapt to contemporary realities. The NEP 2020 envisions a holistic, multidisciplinary, and flexible education system, aiming to position India as a global knowledge hub.

Legal education, traditionally structured around rigid professional norms, now faces the challenge of aligning with NEP's liberal and multidisciplinary framework while maintaining professional standards.

¹ Adhayapak Sahayak, Sheth H.J.Law College Bhavnagar.

*Corresponding Author

Received: March 01, 2026; Revision Received: March 20, 2026; Accepted: March 22, 2026

Legal Education under the New Education Policy 2020: Opportunities and Challenges

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the key features of NEP 2020 relevant to legal education.
- To examine structural reforms proposed for higher education institutions.
- To evaluate opportunities and challenges in implementing NEP within law schools.
- To suggest measures for effective integration of policy objectives in legal education.

KEY FEATURES OF NEP 2020 AFFECTING LEGAL EDUCATION

1 Multidisciplinary and Holistic Education

NEP promotes multidisciplinary universities and flexible degree structures. Traditionally, legal education in India has operated through 3-year LL.B. and 5-year integrated LL.B. programs.

Under NEP:

- Law students may pursue minors in economics, political science, technology, or management.
- Legal education may be integrated within multidisciplinary universities rather than standalone law institutions.
- This approach aligns legal studies with social sciences, public policy, and technology—essential in the era of cyber law and international trade.

2 Academic Bank of Credits (ABC)

The Academic Bank of Credits allows:

- Credit transfer between institutions.
- Multiple entry and exit options.
- Greater academic mobility.
- For legal education, this may:
 - Increase flexibility.
 - Encourage mobility across institutions.
 - Require coordination with professional qualification standards set by the BCI.

3 Research and Institutional Autonomy

The establishment of the National Research Foundation (NRF) aims to strengthen research culture. Legal education can benefit through:

- Increased funding for socio-legal research.
- Policy-driven legal scholarship.
- Interdisciplinary legal research collaborations.

4 Technology Integration

NEP emphasizes digital learning and online education. Post-pandemic realities have already accelerated:

- Virtual courts
- E-learning platforms
- Online legal research tools

Legal Education under the New Education Policy 2020: Opportunities and Challenges

- Legal education must now integrate:
- Legal tech training
- AI in law
- Cybersecurity laws
- Data protection frameworks

Regulatory Framework and Legal Education

Legal education is regulated by the Bar Council of India under the Advocates Act, 1961. A key issue is:

- NEP promotes autonomy and flexibility.
- BCI maintains uniform professional standards.
- The challenge lies in harmonizing:
- University autonomy
- Professional accreditation
- Skill-based practical training
- Without regulatory coordination, reform may face institutional resistance.

Opportunities Presented by NEP 2020

1 Globalization of Legal Education

- Foreign university collaborations
- International exchange programs
- Comparative law studies

2 Skill-Oriented Curriculum

- Clinical legal education
- ADR training
- Drafting and advocacy skills
- Internship integration

3 Inclusive Legal Education

- Regional language instruction
- Digital access
- Gender and social inclusion

Challenges in Implementation

- Regulatory overlap between UGC and BCI.
- Infrastructure gaps in state universities.
- Faculty training in interdisciplinary teaching.
- Standardization versus flexibility conflict.
- Financial constraints in public institutions.

Recommendations

- Clear coordination mechanism between NEP authorities and BCI.

Legal Education under the New Education Policy 2020: Opportunities and Challenges

- Gradual integration of credit-based flexibility in LL.B. programs.
- Stronger clinical and experiential learning frameworks.
- Investment in legal research infrastructure.
- Continuous faculty development programs.

CONCLUSION

The National Education Policy 2020 represents a paradigm shift in India's higher education system. For legal education, it opens pathways toward interdisciplinary learning, global integration, technological modernization, and research excellence. However, meaningful transformation requires regulatory alignment, institutional preparedness, and a commitment to balancing academic freedom with professional standards.

If implemented thoughtfully, NEP 2020 has the potential to redefine legal education in India, making it more responsive, inclusive, and globally competitive.

Based on the specific themes of your study—including the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, higher education structural overhauls, the integration of Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS), regulatory intersections with the Bar Council of India (BCI), and the global challenges of interdisciplinary legal frameworks—here is a curated list of 10 academic references formatted in APA 7th edition style.

REFERENCES

- Bhutia, Y. (2023). Revitalizing higher education in India: Through NEP 2020. *BHU Journal of Education*, 13(7), 45–58.
- Chirmulay, M. V. (2024). Reconciling the National Education Policy and Bar Council of India. *Rabindra Bharati University Journal of Economics*, 28(4), 112–126. Cited by: 0
- D'Amato, A. (2006). The interdisciplinary turn in legal education. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.952483>
- Flood, J. A. (2012). Legal education in the global context: Challenges from globalization, technology and changes in government regulation. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2012001>
- Mann, J. S., & Guihong, Z. (2023). *Comparative teaching & research pedagogy and legal education reforms in India and China* (Monograph). National Law University Delhi Press. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10131752.2011.618009>
- Mitra, N. L., & Sinha, M. K. (2022). National Education Policy 2020 and challenges to the Bar Council of India. *Asian Journal of Legal Education*, 10(1), 7–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23220058221138121>
- Nayak, S. (2026). Transforming higher education in India: A thematic analysis of NEP 2020 reforms. *ShodhPatra: International Journal of Science and Humanities*, 3(3), 314–322.
- Raj, S. B. (2024). Pathways to inclusive higher education: Learnings from India's National Education Policy 2020. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, 10(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20020317.2024.2382376>
- Rajubhai, P. S. (2021). New Education Policy 2020 on higher education. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)*, 9(2), 762–769.
- Srivastava, S. P. (2026). Integrating Indian languages and knowledge systems to decolonize legal education in India. *Journal of Legal Advancement*, 12(1), 15–29.

Acknowledgments

The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The author declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Trada, M. J. (2026) Legal Education under the New Education Policy 2020: Opportunities and Challenges. *International Journal of Social Impact*, 11(1), 94-98. DIP: 18.02.1015/20261101, DOI: 10.25215/2455/11011015