International Journal of Social Impact
ISSN: 2455-670X
Volume 11, Issue 3, DIP: 18.02.033/20261103
DOI: 10.25215/2455/1103033
www.ijsi.in | July-September, 2026

International Journal of Social Impact
A Peer Reviewed Journal

Inclusive Transformation through Demographic Governance: Assessing the Impact of Rural-Urban Birth and Death Registration on Government Welfare Schemes

Jheel Kumar Jaiswal [1]*, Dr. Rakesh Kumar Gupta [2]

ABSTRACT

Demographic governance, fundamentally anchored by robust Civil Registration and Vital Statistics (CRVS) systems, constitutes the foundational architecture of the modern welfare state. In India, the transition from decentralized, paper-based civil registration to a centralized, interoperable digital public infrastructure has profoundly altered the social contract between the citizen and the state. This paper provides an exhaustive assessment of the impact of rural-urban birth and death registration on government welfare schemes, contextualized by the sweeping legislative changes introduced in the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA), 2023. By triangulating longitudinal administrative data from the Civil Registration System (CRS), Medically Certified Cause of Death (MCCD) papers, and the Sample Registration System (SRS), the analysis reveals a complex, dual-edged paradigm. Quantitatively, the state has achieved near-universal registration—99.4% for births and 99.9% for deaths as of 2024. The integration of this real-time demographic data with Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) portals and the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) has revolutionized fiscal prudence, eliminating millions of "ghost beneficiaries" from schemes like the National Social Assistance Programme (NSAP), the Public Distribution System (PDS), and PM-KISAN, yielding cumulative savings exceeding ₹2.7 lakh crore. However, severe qualitative asymmetries persist, notably the systemic under-registration of rural infant mortalities and domiciliary deaths, which distorts public health resource allocation. Furthermore, the legislative mandate establishing the birth certificate as the singular, conclusive proof for essential rights—such as elementary education and the electoral franchise—poses acute constitutional risks regarding exclusion errors. When coupled with the expansive state exemptions in the DPDPA, 2023, this architecture threatens fundamental privacy protections established under the Puttaswamy framework, risking the advent of surveillance capitalism. The paper concludes that while digital demographic governance massively enhances state capacity and fiscal efficiency, it necessitates stringent, decentralized legal safeguards to prevent the administrative marginalization of vulnerable populations.

[1] Research Scholar, Department of Economics, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
Email: jheel.28@gmail.com
[2] Associate Professor, Dept. of Social Science (Economics) Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
*Corresponding Author

Received: June 02, 2026; Revision Received: July 10, 2026; Accepted: July 15, 2026

© 2026 I Author; licensee IJSI. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Inclusive Transformation through Demographic Governance: Assessing the Impact of Rural-Urban Birth and Death Registration on Government Welfare Schemes

Keywords: *Demographic Governance, Civil Registration System (CRS), Welfare Targeting, Ghost Beneficiaries, Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT), Exclusion Error, Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act 2023, Right to Privacy, Digital Public Infrastructure, State Capacity.*

The architecture of public policy and state capacity in the twenty-first century is increasingly defined by the precision, scale, and interoperability of demographic governance. At the conceptual core of this governance framework lies the Civil Registration System (CRS), which facilitates the continuous, permanent, compulsory, and universal recording of vital events, primarily births, deaths, and stillbirths[1]. Historically perceived as a routine administrative mechanism intended strictly for generating vital demographic statistics, civil registration has organically evolved into a paramount determinant of legal identity, social protection, and welfare targeting. The global community has recognized this transition; the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), specifically Target 16.9, explicitly mandate the provision of legal identity for all, prioritizing universal birth registration as an absolute prerequisite for equitable socio-economic development across the Asia-Pacific region and globally[4].

In the Indian administrative context, the demographic landscape has undergone a tectonic and accelerated shift. Over the span of a single decade, the national level of birth registration surged from a highly inadequate 56% in 2014 to an unprecedented 99.1% (often cited broadly as 99.4% depending on the specific papering quarters) in 2024, while death registration climbed from a mere 48% to 99.4% (and in some estimates, 99.9%) over the same period[7]. This trajectory toward near-universal coverage did not occur in a vacuum; it was catalyzed by a confluence of intersecting public policy interventions. These include the proliferation of institutional deliveries incentivized by cash-transfer mechanisms like the Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY), the aggressive expansion of foundational Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) such as the Aadhaar biometric system, and the digitization of grassroots health monitoring architectures like POSHAN Abhiyaan[9].

However, the definitive zenith of this demographic transformation was marked by the parliamentary passage of the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, which fundamentally redefined the statutory utility of civil records in India. This landmark legislation mandates the creation of a centralized, digitally interoperable database of vital events and, most crucially, establishes the birth certificate as the single conclusive identity document required for accessing a vast array of fundamental rights and civic services[12]. Under the new statutory regime, a digitally authenticated birth certificate is the absolute gatekeeper for school admissions, voter registration, government employment, and the issuance of driving licenses[7].

This aggressive legislative pivot aligns perfectly with the state's broader macroeconomic objective of plugging financial leakages in welfare delivery. By identifying and eliminating "ghost beneficiaries"—deceased individuals or entirely fictitious entries used to continually siphon public funds from massive social safety nets such as the National Social Assistance Programme (NSAP), the Public Distribution System (PDS), and the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi (PM-KISAN)—the state has achieved massive fiscal consolidation[15]. The integration of death registries directly with the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) ensures that the financial umbilical cord is severed the moment a beneficiary's demise is recorded, thus saving the public exchequer vast sums that can be reinvested into developmental capital[19].

Yet, the relentless pursuit of absolute legibility through demographic governance introduces profound socio-legal frictions and structural vulnerabilities. The rapid transition from a welfare model based on universal provision and localized, flexible verification to one predicated on strict, centralized digital identity verification inevitably generates substantial "exclusion errors." Marginalized communities, internally displaced persons, nomadic tribes, and vast segments of the rural demographic often face insurmountable structural barriers in securing formal documentation on time[12]. Consequently, making vital registration the absolute prerequisite for existence in the eyes of the state risks condemning these undocumented populations to a lifetime of exclusion from the social safety net.

Furthermore, the compulsory linkage of birth and death registries with Aadhaar numbers and other state databases invokes intense and ongoing debates surrounding data privacy, state surveillance, and the constitutional protections articulated by the Supreme Court in the landmark *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* judgment[13]. When viewed alongside the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA) of 2023, which grants the state broad exemptions from privacy constraints, the new demographic architecture points toward the potential establishment of a surveillance state[22].

This paper delves into the multidimensional impacts of rural-urban birth and death registration on welfare delivery. It exhaustively interrogates the structural asymmetries embedded within the CRS, evaluates the fiscal triumphs of algorithmic de-duplication, and critically analyzes the legal, ethical, and constitutional ramifications of making digital civil registration the absolute arbiter of fundamental rights.

Objective

The overarching objective of this paper is to critically evaluate the systemic impact of evolving civil registration frameworks on the administration, targeting efficiency, and socio-economic equity of government welfare schemes in India.

The primary objectives are multifaceted and highly granular. First, the analysis seeks to empirically assess the rural-urban dichotomy embedded within the Civil Registration System (CRS). This involves investigating how spatial and socio-economic disparities in data quality—particularly concerning the severe under-papering of rural infant mortality and the lack of medically certified causes of death in agrarian districts—fundamentally distort the allocation of targeted welfare and public health interventions. Second, the paper aims to quantify and rigorously analyze the macroeconomic and fiscal implications of demographic governance. Specifically, it explores how real-time, digital death registration and automated de-duplication protocols eliminate "ghost beneficiaries" across major social safety nets such as PM-KISAN, the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA), the PDS, and the NSAP, thereby generating fiscal savings.

The secondary objectives involve a rigorous constitutional and jurisprudential appraisal of the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023. This includes evaluating the high risks of administrative exclusion errors resulting from the "single document" mandate for essential civic functions, particularly analyzing its friction with the fundamental Right to Education and the constitutional guarantee of universal adult suffrage. Finally, the paper intends to explore the profound tension between expanding state administrative capacity and preserving individual civil liberties. This requires analyzing the privacy and surveillance implications of centralized, interoperable demographic databases under the constitutional

frameworks established by the *Puttaswamy* judgment and the statutory exemptions outlined in the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA), 2023.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The academic, economic, and policy discourse surrounding demographic governance, civil registration, and welfare state capacity has expanded exponentially with the global proliferation of digital public infrastructure (DPI). The extant literature can be broadly categorized into three intersecting domains: the evolution of state capacity through biometric and civil registries, the developmental economics of welfare targeting versus universalism (specifically focusing on exclusion errors), and the socio-legal critiques regarding data privacy, state legibility, and surveillance capitalism.

State Capacity and the Economics of Identification

The foundational concept of "state capacity"—the institutional ability of the state to accurately implement its policies, enforce its laws, and collect revenues—is deeply tied to population legibility. Political scientists and economists have long argued that the modern state relies heavily on standardized, continuous administrative registries to function effectively and equitably. In the context of developing nations, the transition from fragmented, paper-based civil registration to biometric, digitized, and centralized civil registration systems has been universally heralded as a leapfrogging mechanism for state capacity.

Empirical studies increasingly support the anti-corruption and efficiency potential of digital finance paired with demographic verification. Research by Muralidharan et al. on the deployment of biometric smartcards in the Indian state of Andhra Pradesh demonstrated that secure, authenticated payment infrastructures significantly reduced leakages in social wage benefits (MGNREGA) by approximately 10.8 percentage points, and in pension benefits by 2.9 percentage points[24]. Crucially, beneficiaries overwhelmingly preferred the new digital system due to its reliability and speed, suggesting that investing in secure demographic infrastructure directly enhances the perceived legitimacy of the state[25]. The World Bank corroborates this at a macroeconomic level, emphasizing that robust identification systems, when seamlessly integrated with Government-to-Person (G2P) registers, yield immense public sector savings by eliminating multiple and ghost enrollments. For instance, cross-referencing digital identity databases against civil servant payrolls and social registries in Uganda allowed the government to remove over 4,600 ghost workers, saving millions of dollars in a highly constrained fiscal environment[24]. In India, the scaling of this concept through the Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) mechanism represents the largest such exercise globally[17].

Welfare Targeting, Proxy Means Tests, and Exclusion Errors

However, the literature on developmental economics and welfare targeting offers a stringent critical counter-narrative, focusing heavily on the phenomenon of "exclusion error." While the state prioritizes the elimination of inclusion errors (providing benefits to those who are ineligible, such as ghost beneficiaries), human rights advocates argue that exclusion errors (denying benefits to those who are genuinely eligible and desperate) cause significantly greater socio-economic harm.

A seminal paper by Development Pathways and Act Church of Sweden on the efficacy of social registries highlighted the abject developmental failures of narrow poverty-targeting

mechanisms. The paper argued that complex proxy means tests (PMTs) and rigid digital registries often devolve into "anti-social registries"[21]. By relying on strict documentation prerequisites and static algorithmic correlations to determine poverty, these systems arbitrarily exclude the poorest households due to data fragmentation, illiteracy, administrative hurdles, and minor biometric mismatches. This exclusion directly contributes to real-world harms, such as increased undernutrition and mortality among marginalized populations who suddenly find themselves erased from state records[20]. In the Indian context, researchers and policy analysts have extensively observed that while the Aadhaar-enabled DBT ecosystem successfully curtailed elite capture and ghost beneficiaries, it simultaneously erected formidable, sometimes insurmountable, barriers for genuine beneficiaries who lack pristine, error-free demographic records[26].

The Jurisprudence of Privacy and Digital Authoritarianism

The third critical domain of literature addresses the legal, constitutional, and ethical dimensions of demographic governance, primarily concerning data privacy and the specter of surveillance capitalism. The aggressive digitization of the CRS, and its mandatory, hard-coded linkage with foundational identities like Aadhaar, have provoked intense constitutional scrutiny. The Supreme Court of India's landmark judgment in *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India* (2017) unequivocally recognized the right to privacy as an intrinsic, fundamental part of the right to life and personal liberty enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution[13].

Legal scholars and privacy advocates argue that the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, by mandating Aadhaar linkages for informants and parents, and by enabling massive database interoperability without explicit citizen consent, threatens to breach the proportionality test laid down in the *Puttaswamy* framework[12]. Furthermore, the introduction of the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA), 2023, has been heavily critiqued in comparative legal circles. Analyses contrasting the DPDPA with the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) highlight severe statutory imbalances. The Indian framework grants the union government expansive, largely unchecked exemptions to process citizen data under the guise of state security, sovereignty, and public order[22]. Scholars argue that by simultaneously diluting transparency tools like Section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information (RTI) Act—which previously allowed citizens to demand accountability—the new regime cements a framework conducive to digital authoritarianism, where the state enjoys absolute informational dominance over a thoroughly profiled citizenry[22].

Synthesizing this vast body of literature reveals a distinct, highly consequential paradox in contemporary demographic governance. The state's technological capacity to "see" its citizens through comprehensive, real-time birth and death registries undeniably maximizes the fiscal efficiency of the modern welfare state. Concurrently, the inflexible, technocratic application of these digital registries as absolute gatekeepers for fundamental socio-economic rights engenders profound marginalization, erodes foundational privacy protections, and creates an urgent necessity for balanced, rights-respecting policy frameworks.

ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK AND RESEARCH DESIGN

To comprehensively evaluate the complex impact of rural-urban birth and death registration on government welfare schemes, this paper employs a robust, mixed-methods analytical framework rooted in public policy analysis, demographic assessment, and constitutional law.

The investigation is grounded in the systematic triangulation of secondary administrative data, legislative texts, and independent institutional performance audits.

The primary quantitative demographic data is sourced directly from the annual "Vital Statistics of India based on the Civil Registration System" papers, published by the Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India (ORGI), functioning under the Ministry of Home Affairs. Specifically, longitudinal data spanning the transformative period from 2012 to 2024 is analyzed to trace the upward trajectory of registration completeness, the persistence of gender disparities (measured via the Sex Ratio at Birth), and spatial variances (specifically, rural versus urban operational performance)[3].

To assess the qualitative depth and epidemiological utility of the mortality data, the paper integrates findings from the "Annual Paper on Medically Certified Cause of Death" (MCCD) for the corresponding years. This allows for a critical examination of the massive gaps in rural medical certification and the overwhelming urban bias in cause-of-death papering[29]. Furthermore, vital rates from the Sample Registration System (SRS)—a large-scale demographic survey providing reliable estimates of birth rate, death rate, and infant mortality rate (IMR)—are utilized as a statistical benchmark to validate the completeness and expose the blind spots of the administrative CRS data[31].

The qualitative legislative and constitutional analysis relies on a rigorous reading of the foundational Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969, contrasted against the transformative, digitizing provisions of the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023. Legislative briefs and policy trackers, particularly those from PRS Legislative Research, are utilized to decode the statutory mandates regarding the "single document" provision and forced biometric linkages[13]. The privacy dimensions are evaluated through the jurisprudential lens of the *Puttaswamy* judgment (2017) and the statutory text of the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA), 2023, mapping the legal boundaries of state surveillance[13].

Finally, to measure the direct, real-world impact of civil registration on welfare delivery, the paper analyzes exhaustive performance audits from the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG), specifically focusing on systemic leakages and delayed death papering in the National Social Assistance Programme (NSAP)[20]. Insights from NITI Aayog documents, World Bank public sector savings evaluations, and internal DBT mission outcome papers are synthesized to quantify the exact fiscal savings generated through the algorithmic elimination of ghost beneficiaries in massive schemes like PM-KISAN, MGNREGA, and the PDS[19].

FINDINGS: THE ARCHITECTURE AND TRAJECTORY OF CIVIL REGISTRATION

The Historical Evolution and Quantitative Leap

The expansion of India's Civil Registration System represents a monumental administrative achievement, evolving from a sluggish, paper-bound bureaucracy into a highly dynamic digital apparatus. The trajectory of this system can be broadly categorized into four distinct historical phases. During the "Early Period" (1990–2000), progress was remarkably slow and characterized by massive under-registration; the system captured only 11.6 million births in 1990, relying heavily on fragmented manual papering systems[33]. The "Gradual Expansion" phase (2000–2010) witnessed steady increases due to foundational health-system reforms, pushing birth registrations up slightly. However, it was during the "Consolidation and

Modernization" phase (2011–2020) and the subsequent "Maturity and Stabilization" phase (2021–2024) that the system truly transformed[33].

Phase of CRS Evolution	Timeframe	Defining Characteristics & Growth
Early Period	1990–2000	Manual, paper-based. Heavy under-papering, particularly in rural and non-institutional settings. Modest growth in registered births (11.6m to 12.9m).
Gradual Expansion	2000–2010	Rollout of health-system reforms. Birth registration begins accelerating, but vast gaps remain in death papering.
Consolidation	2011–2020	Aggressive digitization efforts. Integration with conditional cash transfers for institutional deliveries (JSY). Significant reduction in the gap between estimated and registered events.
Maturity	2021–2024	Near saturation. Birth registrations peak at ~25.47 million (2024). Death registrations stabilize post-pandemic. Transition to unified national digital portals.

Data synthesized from ORGI CRS Trend Papers (1990-2023)[33].

By 2024, the national level of birth registration had reached an extraordinary 99.1% (with some metrics indicating 99.4%), and death registration achieved near-absolute saturation at 99.4% to 99.9%[7]. In absolute numbers, registered births increased to 25.47 million in 2024 (up from 25.21 million in 2023), while registered deaths rose to 8.94 million[7]. Eighteen States and Union Territories successfully achieved 100% birth registration, and twenty-one achieved 100% death registration[7]. This surge was not accidental; it was the result of deliberate policy intersections. The aggressive promotion of institutional deliveries in hospitals (accounting for 79.4% of total registered births in 2024) naturally streamlined birth registrations, as medical officers became the primary informants[7]. Concurrently, the strict administrative requirement of formal death certificates for accessing family pensions, settling insurance claims, inheriting property, and transferring bank accounts forced citizens to actively engage with the death registration machinery[34].

The Rural-Urban Dichotomy and the Infant Mortality Blind Spot

However, peeling back the aggregate national percentages reveals stark, deeply entrenched qualitative disparities, most prominently along the rural-urban continuum. While overall birth and death registrations appear balanced (with rural areas accounting for roughly 57.2% of total death registrations in 2024, commensurate with population distribution), a critical anomaly exists within the registration of infant deaths[7]. In 2024, an overwhelming 84.2% of all registered infant deaths occurred in urban areas, leaving a mere 15.8% registered in rural areas[7]. This statistic remains consistent with previous years (e.g., 81.5% urban vs. 18.5% rural in 2022)[3].

Demographic Indicator (2024 CRS Data)	Urban Share (%)	Rural Share (%)
Overall Registered Births	~56.0%	~44.0%
Overall Registered Deaths	42.8%	57.2%
Registered Infant Deaths	84.2%	15.8%
Registered Stillbirths	69.0%	31.0%

Data derived from the Annual Paper on Vital Statistics of India based on CRS, 2024[7].

This extreme skew in infant death registration blatantly contradicts actual epidemiological reality; demographic surveys uniformly indicate that rural India experiences significantly higher infant mortality rates than urban centers[31]. This disparity, therefore, highlights a profound, systemic under-registration of infant mortality in rural geographies. Anthropological and demographic studies conducted in states like Bihar suggest that rural infant deaths—particularly those occurring outside healthcare facilities (domiciliary deaths)—go unrecorded due to a potent combination of social stigma, traditional beliefs (e.g., viewing premature infant death as a spiritual penalty), and an acute lack of immediate financial, legal, or property-related incentives for parents to navigate the bureaucracy to paper the demise of a minor to civil authorities[2]. Because burial permissions for infants in rural areas rarely require formal civil notification, the event completely bypasses the state's radar[35].

Furthermore, systemic state-level disparities in the Sex Ratio at Birth (SRB) highlight lingering socio-cultural biases that affect demographic legibility. While states like Nagaland papered an SRB of 1068 (females per 1000 males) in 2022, and Arunachal Pradesh papered 1036, major populous states like Bihar (891), Maharashtra (906), and Telangana (907) papered critically low figures[3]. This suggests that female births, particularly in certain geographies, may still suffer from delayed registration or outright under-papering, intertwining the issue of civil registration with deeper gender inequalities.

The MCCD Vacuum: Knowing the Dead, Ignorant of the Cause

A secondary, yet equally severe, layer of data poverty exists within the Medically Certified Cause of Death (MCCD) architecture. Under this scheme, the Office of the Registrar General of India (ORGI) attempts to ascertain the exact epidemiological cause of mortality to guide public health policy[29]. While the overall volume of gross death registration has peaked near 100%, the MCCD scheme captures only a minuscule fraction of these mortalities—approximately 20% to 22% of total registered deaths[29].

Medical certification is heavily biased toward urban institutional deaths because the scheme is primarily rolled out in major hospitals. Consequently, the vast majority of rural, domiciliary mortalities occur without a scientifically determined cause of death.

Top Medically Certified Causes of Death (Major Cause Groups, 2024)	**Percentage Share of Total MCCD Deaths**
Diseases of the Circulatory System (e.g., Heart Disease)	38.8%
Diseases of the Respiratory System (e.g., Pneumonia, Asthma)	11.0%
Certain Infectious and Parasitic Diseases (e.g., Septicemia, TB)	8.3%
Neoplasms (Cancer)	5.0%
Diseases of the Genitourinary System	4.4%
Diseases of the Digestive System	4.3%
Injury, Poisoning, and External Causes	4.1%

Data sourced from the Annual Paper on MCCD, 2024[29].

Note: These figures represent only the ~20% of deaths that received medical certification, skewing heavily urban. Because 80% of deaths (predominantly rural) lack medical certification, the state operates in an epidemiological vacuum. While it possesses an accurate absolute count of the deceased, it lacks the granular health intelligence required to deploy targeted public health welfare schemes, distribute medical infrastructure, or track localized disease outbreaks effectively[37].

The 2023 Legislative Overhaul: Establishing Absolute Legibility

To consolidate the massive quantitative gains of the CRS and hardwire them into the daily governance apparatus, the Indian Parliament enacted the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, which officially came into force on October 1, 2023[7]. This legislation marks a definitive paradigm shift from a decentralized, passive, and largely paper-based record-keeping system to a proactive, highly centralized digital architecture.

The most consequential and widely debated provision of the 2023 Act is the establishment of the birth certificate as the single conclusive proof of the date and place of birth for any individual born on or after the commencement date. Under the newly amended Section 17, this digital certificate is now a mandatory prerequisite for a highly expansive list of civic functions. Citizens cannot gain admission to educational institutions, obtain a driving license, register on the voter list, register a marriage, or be appointed to a government post without it[13].

To operationalize this mammoth mandate, the Act directs the Registrar General of India to maintain a unified, centralized digital database of registered births and deaths, which seamlessly interfaces with databases maintained by state-level Chief Registrars[12]. Crucially, the legislation enforces the collection of the Aadhaar numbers of both the parents and the informant during birth registration, effectively anchoring the newborn's identity to the state's biometric infrastructure from the very first day of life[13]. The Act also broadens and modernizes the definition of legal "informants," explicitly placing the onus on the medical officer in charge of hospitals and nursing homes, jailors, hotel managers, and Station House Officers (SHOs) in the case of abandoned children, to paper vital events electronically[13].

Further legislative tightening is visible in the subsequent proposals regarding delayed registrations. Historically, delayed registrations (events papered long after the statutory 21-day limit) were handled by local executive magistrates (District Magistrates or Sub-Divisional Magistrates)[7]. However, to curb fraudulent entries intended to usurp welfare benefits or manipulate citizenship records, new amendments seek to shift the authority for adjudicating extremely delayed registrations (beyond two years) to first-class judicial magistrates, introducing a rigorous two-tier approval mechanism[40].

Timeline of Registration	Governing Authority (Under New/Proposed Rules)
Within 21 Days	Local Registrar (Free of charge, standard process)
21 Days to 30 Days	Local Registrar (Payment of late fee)
30 Days to 1 Year	District Registrar (Written permission, self-attested documents, fee)
1 Year to 2 Years	Executive Magistrate (SDM/DM order required)
Beyond 2 Years	First-Class Judicial Magistrate (Proposed stringent verification)

Mechanisms for registration timelines[7].

This shift reflects a governance philosophy that prioritizes absolute data integrity, inter-agency operability, and anti-fraud measures over administrative ease, fundamentally altering how the state controls demographic legibility.

RESULTS: THE IMPACT ON GOVERNMENT WELFARE SCHEMES

The transition to a unified, digital demographic architecture has produced immediate, massive, and highly polarized impacts on the administration of government welfare schemes. The results

can be distinctly divided into the macroeconomic triumphs of fiscal prudence and the localized tragedies of exclusion errors.

Fiscal Prudence and the Eradication of Ghost Beneficiaries

The intersection of universalized, real-time civil registration, Aadhaar authentication, and the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) has dramatically enhanced the state's capacity to execute precision welfare targeting. The primary economic triumph of this demographic governance model is the systematic eradication of "ghost beneficiaries"—fictitious entries, duplicates, or deceased individuals who historically remained on state rolls, siphoning vast sums of public wealth[15].

The integration of real-time death registration data with Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) portals serves as an automated, continuous purging mechanism. In the context of the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi (PM-KISAN)—a massive income support scheme providing ₹6,000 annually to landholding farmers in three installments—the lack of prompt death papering previously allowed families to quietly continue withdrawing funds long after the primary beneficiary's demise. By cross-referencing PM-KISAN databases with newly digitized state death registries and deploying Aadhaar de-duplication algorithms, the government successfully identified and blocked funds to millions of ineligible or deceased accounts, redirecting resources to genuine claimants and saving thousands of crores[18].

This fiscal correction is equally profound in the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA). Historically plagued by localized corruption where wages were drawn in the names of deceased, migrated, or entirely non-existent workers, the linkage of job cards with verified demographic and biometric databases resulted in the weeding out of multiple ghost beneficiaries. Policy papers from the Ministry of Finance indicate that such systemic clean-ups in MGNREGA alone generated immediate savings of over ₹3,000 crore in a single fiscal cycle (2015-16), representing nearly 10% of the scheme's expenditure at the time[16].

Similarly, the Public Distribution System (PDS) underwent massive sanitation. By demanding verified demographic alignment, over 4.4 crore ghost or duplicate ration cards were deleted nationally, preventing the wholesale diversion of highly subsidized food grains into the black market[43]. Across the entire spectrum of government welfare, the deployment of DBT backed by robust identification and civil registration has contributed to aggregate government savings estimated at an astonishing ₹2.7 lakh crore[44].

The National Social Assistance Programme (NSAP), which provides vital old age, widow, and disability pensions, relies fundamentally on accurate death papering to manage its rolls. A comprehensive performance audit by the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) covering the period up to 2021 revealed that ₹2.0 crore had been irregularly disbursed to 2,103 deceased beneficiaries across 26 States and UTs simply due to bureaucratic delays in striking off names from the active pension rosters[20]. The 2023 legislative mandate for instantaneous, digital death papering by medical officers and local registrars directly mitigates this lag. By pushing electronic death notifications directly to the state treasury and PFMS, the disbursement chain is immediately severed upon the beneficiary's death, halting the leakage of pension funds and ensuring that fixed state budgets can cover new, living applicants[19].

Beyond purely fiscal metrics, the integration of birth registration into welfare infrastructure has revolutionized maternal and child health tracking. Under POSHAN Abhiyaan (the National Nutrition Mission), the generation of an ABHA (Ayushman Bharat Health Account) ID or the use of the centralized Birth Registration Number allows the state to track a child's nutritional metrics, stunting parameters, and immunization milestones from the exact day of birth[10]. This seamless data corridor between the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Women and Child Development ensures that grassroots Anganwadi systems can proactively deliver targeted supplementary nutrition without waiting for slow, manual household surveys, fundamentally changing the speed of welfare delivery.

Exclusion Errors and the Penalty of Marginalization

While the fiscal narrative emphatically champions the elimination of ghosts and the optimization of state resources, the sociological and constitutional reality exposes a severe penalty for the undocumented. The transformation of the birth certificate into the singular gateway for fundamental rights under the 2023 Amendment Act introduces an extremely high risk of "exclusion error," wherein genuine, vulnerable citizens are denied statutory entitlements due to administrative technicalities and data friction[13].

The most profound constitutional friction arises in the domain of education. The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education (RTE) Act, 2009, explicitly mandates under Article 21A that no child shall be denied admission to elementary education on the grounds of lacking age proof, allowing for a variety of alternative documents or declarations[13]. However, the 2023 RBD Amendment Act unequivocally requires a formalized birth certificate for educational admission, providing no statutory exemptions within its text[13]. This creates a legally irreconcilable situation: children born to marginalized, nomadic, or tribal communities, children of sex workers, or those displaced by floods and natural disasters who lost their documentation, face total, formalized exclusion from the formal education system. Without the digital certificate, they cannot enroll; without enrollment, they are denied their fundamental constitutional right to education.

Similarly, the mandate requiring a birth certificate for the preparation of voter lists conflicts sharply with Article 326 of the Constitution, which guarantees universal adult suffrage to every citizen above 18 years of age. The Constitution permits disqualification from voting only on the specific grounds of non-residence, unsoundness of mind, or criminal/corrupt practices[13]. The lack of a specific demographic administrative document is not a constitutional ground for disenfranchisement. By making the birth certificate a hard prerequisite for inclusion in the electoral roll for new voters, the Act effectively creates a statutory barrier to a constitutional right. This disproportionately impacts the rural poor and migrant laborers who historically suffer from lower baseline registration rates and possess less capacity to navigate bureaucratic remediation[13].

The burden of this exclusion error falls overwhelmingly on the most vulnerable cohorts. For orphans, abandoned children, or children of unwed mothers in remote areas, the complex bureaucratic process of delayed registration acts as an insurmountable wall. Under the new and proposed regimes, gaining a delayed certificate requires affidavits, executive magistrate approvals, and eventually, highly formal judicial magistrate clearances[40]. In a system that strictly equates digital, documented legibility with existence, undocumented individuals are effectively rendered stateless within their own borders—cut off from public health facilities,

PDS rations, and formal employment[12]. The state's obsession with eliminating inclusion errors (fraud) has engineered a system so rigid that it guarantees the exclusion of the desperate.

DISCUSSION

The Privacy Paradox and Surveillance Capitalism

The digitalization and extreme centralization of civil registration cannot be analyzed in isolation from the prevailing data protection and privacy regime in the country. The symbiotic implementation of the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA), 2023, has catalyzed severe, well-founded concerns regarding individual privacy, state surveillance, and the rapid erosion of constitutional safeguards[12].

In the landmark 2017 *Puttaswamy* judgment, a nine-judge bench of the Supreme Court of India elevated the right to privacy to the status of a fundamental right, subject only to restrictions that are lawful, serve a legitimate and compelling state aim, and are strictly proportionate[13]. The 2023 RBD Amendment severely tests the limits of this proportionality doctrine. By mandating the linking of the Aadhaar numbers of parents and informants to a newborn's birth certificate, the state creates an inescapable, cradle-to-grave digital footprint before the citizen even possesses agency[13]. Legal analysts argue that forcing a medical officer to attach their personal Aadhaar to every child born in their hospital, or demanding an SHO's Aadhaar for every abandoned infant processed, disproportionately infringes upon the privacy of the informants and establishes a highly centralized, non-consensual web of relational demographic data[13].

The DPDPA, 2023, which was ostensibly designed to protect *digital nagriks* (citizens), provides sweeping, almost blanket exemptions to the state. When compared to international standards like the European Union's GDPR, the Indian law is heavily skewed toward state power. Under Section 17(2)(b) of the DPDPA, the union government holds the unilateral authority to exempt any of its own agencies from adhering to data processing constraints, citing holistic and broadly defined objectives such as state security, sovereignty, or public order[22]. Consequently, the newly centralized national database of births and deaths, thoroughly intertwined with Aadhaar, PAN, and voter lists, exists within a legal framework where the state's power to access, profile, and utilize demographic data is largely unfettered.

This architecture paves the way for potential surveillance capitalism and targeted algorithmic exclusion. In regions characterized by social unrest, sectarian tension, or ethnic conflict, the existence of a highly centralized, interoperable database containing granular socio-demographic indicators presents a severe risk of profiling[12]. Minorities or vulnerable political groups may be subjected to differential treatment, targeted harassment, or algorithmic exclusion from welfare schemes.

Furthermore, the DPDPA's amendment to Section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information (RTI) Act exempts "personal information" from public disclosure regardless of public interest, creating a profound power asymmetry. The state now possesses the tools to know everything about the citizen's life cycle, but the citizen's ability to demand transparency and accountability from the state is severely curtailed[22]. Adding insult to injury, the burden of ensuring data accuracy is perversely shifted onto the citizen. The DPDPA imposes financial penalties (up to ₹10,000) on individuals—including marginalized and illiterate groups—for providing incomplete or

incorrect data, while largely immunizing the state and its massive databases from accountability for data breaches or algorithmic failures[23].

Structural Asymmetries in Demographic Governance

While mainstream policy discourse heavily praises the quantitative triumphs of the CRS (celebrating the 99% registration marks), a critical discussion is required regarding the structural asymmetries that silently undermine qualitative demographic governance. The Indian welfare state is highly reliant on data to project future pension liabilities, allocate district-level maternal healthcare funds, and design targeted epidemiological interventions. However, the data machinery is deeply misaligned with ground realities.

The massive under-papering of rural infant deaths (representing only 15.8% of the registered total despite higher actual rural mortality) and the dismal performance of the MCCD (capturing only ~20% of total deaths) means that health policymakers are effectively flying blind in rural India[7]. When rural infant mortalities go unregistered, they fail to trigger the necessary public health responses and audits at the block level. Because state resources are mathematically allocated based on papered metrics, regions that fail to accurately paper their dead are inadvertently penalized; they receive less funding for maternal and neonatal care infrastructure because their localized mortality crisis is invisible to the central database.

Moreover, the strictness of the new registration regime assumes a uniform level of state capacity and citizen legal literacy that simply does not exist across the subcontinent. The proposed shift of delayed registration authority to judicial magistrates assumes that impoverished rural populations have easy access to the judiciary, the financial means to hire advocates, and the legal literacy required to navigate the courts[40]. In reality, this will likely result in a massive backlog of unregistered citizens who simply abandon the process, preferring to live outside the formal welfare system and rely on informal economies rather than navigate an adversarial legal labyrinth.

CONCLUSION

The evolution of demographic governance in India, anchored by the rapid digitization of the Civil Registration System and legally enforced by the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, represents one of the most ambitious and expansive state-building exercises in the modern developing world. By transitioning from a fragmented, passive repository of vital events to an integrated, real-time digital public infrastructure, the Indian state has unlocked unprecedented fiscal and administrative efficiencies. The seamless integration of birth and death registries with foundational identity (Aadhaar) and the Public Financial Management System has successfully excised millions of ghost beneficiaries, safeguarding hundreds of thousands of crores in public funds across massive welfare schemes like PM-KISAN, MGNREGA, and the NSAP.

However, this systemic transformation is fraught with profound ethical, constitutional, and operational contradictions. The rigid statutory mandate establishing the digital birth certificate as the solitary gateway to fundamental rights—most notably elementary education and the electoral franchise—creates a high-stakes environment where administrative exclusion equates to civil and economic death. The persistent qualitative failures of the registration system, particularly the severe under-registration of rural infant deaths and the lack of rural medical

certification, indicate that the state's vision remains dangerously blurred outside urban centers, distorting the allocation of vital public health welfare.

Furthermore, the overarching architecture of the highly centralized database, coupled with the expansive, unchecked state exemptions granted under the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, poses severe risks to the constitutional right to privacy. It enables an environment ripe for mass surveillance, algorithmic profiling, and the erosion of democratic transparency. Ultimately, while digital demographic governance has optimized the fiscal machinery of the welfare state, it requires urgent, comprehensive recalibration to ensure that the relentless pursuit of state legibility does not sacrifice the foundational principles of equity, inclusion, and civil liberty.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To harness the undeniable efficiencies of digital demographic governance while actively mitigating the severe risks of exclusion and surveillance, the following policy interventions are recommended:

1. **Delinking Fundamental Rights from Singular Documentation:** The government must urgently amend the executive rules associated with the Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, to ensure it does not conflict with the Right to Education (RTE) Act (Article 21A) and the constitutional right to vote (Article 326). A robust, statutory fallback mechanism must be established, allowing marginalized individuals (e.g., orphans, displaced persons, tribal populations) to utilize alternative forms of verification—such as local Gram Panchayat resolutions, school headmaster certifications, or targeted verbal verifications—to access education and electoral rolls without a formal digital birth certificate.

2. **Strengthening Rural Cause-of-Death and Infant Mortality Papering:** To correct the massive rural-urban disparity in infant death registration and the exceptionally low coverage of the Medically Certified Cause of Death (MCCD) scheme, the state must formally integrate civil registration duties into the mandate of grassroots health workers. Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs) and Anganwadi workers should be equipped with simplified, localized digital tools to paper domiciliary deaths and conduct WHO-standard verbal autopsies. Crucially, financial incentives for families papering infant and maternal deaths, mirroring the cash benefits currently provided for institutional birth registration, should be introduced to overcome social stigma and apathy.

3. **Establishing Independent Data Privacy Guardrails:** Given the expansive exemptions granted to the state in the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, the highly centralized national database of births and deaths requires bespoke, stringent oversight. An independent, multi-stakeholder data protection ombudsman must be established specifically to audit the RGI's demographic databases. Strict purpose-limitation protocols must be enforced, ensuring that demographic data collected for civil registration and welfare delivery cannot be unilaterally mined by intelligence or law enforcement agencies without a specific, targeted judicial warrant, thereby aligning with the proportionality test established in the *Puttaswamy* judgment.

4. **Decentralizing Delayed Registration Approvals:** To prevent the administrative

marginalization of rural and tribal populations, the proposed shift of long-term delayed registration approvals (beyond two years) to first-class judicial magistrates should be reconsidered. Instead, decentralized, mobile administrative tribunals or empowered Block Development Officers (BDOs) operating through localized outreach camps (similar to early Aadhaar enrollment drives) should adjudicate delayed registrations. This maintains strict anti-fraud checks while remaining geographically and administratively accessible to the rural poor who lack the capacity to engage with the formal judiciary.

REFERENCES

1. Comptroller and Auditor General of India. (2023). Paper No. 25 of 2023: Performance Audit on National Social Assistance Programme.
2. Development Pathways, & Act Church of Sweden. (2021). Social registries: a short history of abject failure.
3. Gupta, A. (2023, August 17). An Act to cement digital authoritarianism. Internet Freedom Foundation.
4. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2023). Direct Benefit Transfer and Fiscal Savings.
5. Muralidharan, K., Niehaus, P., & Sukhtankar, S. (2016). Building State Capacity: Evidence from Biometric Smartcards in India. American Economic Review.
6. Office of the Registrar General, India. (2022). Sample Registration System (SRS) Statistical Paper 2022. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
7. Office of the Registrar General, India. (2024). Annual Paper on Medically Certified Cause of Death 2024. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
8. Office of the Registrar General, India. (2024). Vital Statistics of India based on the Civil Registration System 2024. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
9. PRS Legislative Research. (2023). The Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Bill, 2023.
10. The Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023, No. 20, Acts of Parliament, 2023 (India).
11. World Bank. (2017). Public Sector Savings and Revenue from Identification Systems: Opportunities and Constraints.

Works cited

1. About Us | Civil Registration System | Government of India, https://dc.crsorgi.gov.in/crs/about
2. India's Civil Registration System Paper - Drishti IAS, https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/india-s-civil-registration-system-paper
3. VITAL STATISTICS OF INDIA BASED ON THE CIVIL, https://dc.crsorgi.gov.in/assets/download/Annual-Papers/crs/2022.pdf
4. Fact sheet: Measuring SDG 16.9 in Tonga - CRVS, https://crvs.unescap.org/resource/fact-sheet-measuring-sdg-169-tonga
5. SDG target 16.9 on legal identity | Get Every One in the Picture - CRVS, https://getinthepicture.org/news/sdg-target-169-legal-identity
6. CRVS in Asia and the Pacific - ESCAP Repository, https://repository-uat.unescap.org/bitstreams/4732fab3-e86c-4443-9508-7812a9c22eb5/download
7. CRS_Paper_2024.pdf - Census of India, https://censusindia.gov.in/nada/index.php/catalog/47155/download/51401/CRS_Paper_2024.pdf

8. Toward Universal Civil Registration: The RBD (Amendment) Act 2023, https://clatgurukul.com/universal-civil-registration-births-deaths-amendment-act-2023/
9. Paper of the High Level Committee on the Status of Women in India, https://www.nitiforstates.gov.in/public-assets/Policy/policy_files/RNCNAS000674.pdf
10. Early Childhood Development in India - UNICEF, https://www.unicef.org/india/media/3801/file
11. PM Family Care Tracker: UPSC Current Affairs - iasgyan, https://www.iasgyan.in/daily-current-affairs/pm-family-care-tracker-strengthening-child-protection-welfare-monitoring-and-family-based-care
12. Registration Of Births And Deaths (Amendment) Act, 2023 - impri, https://www.impriindia.com/insights/birth-death-act/
13. The Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Bill, 2023, https://prsindia.org/billtrack/the-registration-of-births-and-deaths-amendment-bill-2023
14. THE REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS (AMENDMENT, https://prsindia.org/files/bills_acts/acts_parliament/2023/Registration_of_Births_and_Deaths_(Amendment)_Act,_2023.pdf
15. A Critical Analysis of Aadhaar and Financial Inclusion in India, https://euroasiapub.org/wp-content/uploads/IJRESS57Dec2020-1.pdf
16. Social Programs under 2 year's rule of Narendra Modi - The Hindu, https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/lead/Social-Programs-under-2-years-rule-of-Narendra-Modi/article62116357.ece
17. Cyber Security Awareness - Informatics, https://informatics.nic.in/uploads/pdfs/50850fd1_informatics_oct_2023.pdf
18. Current Affairs October 2025 - full monthly compilation | C4S Courses, https://c4scourses.in/ca-month/2025-10
19. Uttrakhand DBT 064f1c6fd6c6396 94669041 | PDF | Audit - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/1046450633/Uttrakhand-DBT-064f1c6fd6c6396-94669041
20. PM Samajik Sahayata Yojana: NSAP Reform Analysis for UPSC, https://superkalam.com/current-affairs/articles/pm-samajik-sahayata-yojana-evaluating-nsap-reforms-and-welfare-architecture
21. Social registries: a short history of abject failure, https://www.developmentpathways.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Social-registries-a-short-history-of-abject-failure-June.pdf
22. DPDPA-and-GDPR-A-Comparative-Analysis-Research ... - IJALR, https://ijalr.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/DPDPA-and-GDPR-A-Comparative-Analysis-Research-Paper.docx-Latest-1.pdf
23. An Act to cement digital authoritarianism - India Law & Tech Blog, https://www.iltb.net/2023/08/an-act-to-cement-digital-authoritarianism/
24. Public Sector Savings and Revenue from Identification Systems, https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/745871522848339938/pdf/Public-Sector-Savings-and-Revenue-from-Identification-Systems-Opportunities-and-Constraints.pdf
25. Building State Capacity: Evidence from Biometric Smartcards in India, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308840037_Building_State_Capacity_Evidence_from_Biometric_Smartcards_in_India
26. India's Aadhaar scheme and the promise of inclusive social protection, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312151560_India's_Aadhaar_scheme_and_the_promise_of_inclusive_social_protection
27. Integrating Biometric Authentication in India's Welfare Programs, https://escholarship.o

rg/content/qt5fh247mn/qt5fh247mn_noSplash_ccb0e5a493c19e389f4039dd97acfc7d.pdf
28. Guarding Privacy in the Digital Age: Unpacking India's Data, https://www.dhyeyaias.com/daily-current-affairs/guarding-privacy-in-the-digital-age
29. PAPER ON MEDICAL CERTIFICATION OF CAUSE OF DEATH 2024, https://censusindia.gov.in/nada/index.php/catalog/47157/download/51403/Annual_Paper_on_MCCD_2024.pdf
30. PAPER ON MEDICAL CERTIFICATION OF CAUSE OF DEATH 2022, https://dc.crsorgi.gov.in/assets/download/Annual-Papers/mccd/2022.pdf
31. SAMPLE REGISTRATION SYSTEM STATISTICAL PAPER 2022, https://censusindia.gov.in/nada/index.php/catalog/45570/download/49767/SRS_STAT_2022.pdf
32. VITAL STATISTICS OF INDIA BASED ON THE CIVIL, https://dc.crsorgi.gov.in/assets/download/Annual-Papers/crs/2009.pdf
33. (PDF) Civil Registration System (CRS) Paper -India, 2023, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397452185_Civil_Registration_System_CRS_Paper_-India_2023_-Trends_Progress_and_Challenges_in_Birth_Death_Registration
34. Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Bill, 2026 - Abhipedia, https://abhipedia.abhimanu.com/Article/1057/NTczNTk5/Registration-of-Births-and-Deaths-Amendment-Bill-2026-Enhancing-Demographic-Governance-and-Civil-Registration-Integr
35. Performance barriers of Civil Registration System in Bihar - PMC - NIH, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9159592/
36. Civil Registration System's (CRS) Vital Statistics 2022 Paper, https://c4scourses.in/national-affairs/civil-registration-systems-crs-vital-statistics-2022-paper/
37. The civil registration system is a potentially viable data source for, https://gh.bmj.com/content/5/8/e002586
38. Effective Date of Registration of Births and Deaths (Amendment) Act, https://complinity.com/legal-update/effective-date-of-registration-of-births-and-deaths-amendment-act-2023-11069/
39. the registration of births and deaths (amendment) bill, 2023, https://www.sansad.in/getFile/BillsTexts/LSBillTexts/PassedBothHouses/registration%20of%20birth818202331600PM.pdf?source=legislation
40. Key Amendments in Birth-Death Registration Bill 2026 - Plutus IAS, https://plutusias.com/key-amendments-in-birth-death-registration-bill-2026-upsc-polity-insights/
41. Lok Sabha Introduces Bill to Tighten Delayed Birth & Death, https://plutusias.com/lok-sabha-introduces-bill-to-tighten-delayed-birth-death-registrations/
42. ba5e9-august-2023.pdf - Vision IAS, https://cdn.visionias.in/current_affairs/ba5e9-august-2023.pdf
43. Social Justice Prahaar 2025 Overview | PDF | Poverty - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/939129195/Prahaar-Summary-2025-Social-Justice-E-Book
44. The Role of Digital India in Enhancing Service Delivery and ... - IJFMR, https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/2/43235.pdf
45. How Gujarat's digital tracker is linking health, education and nutrition, https://www.indiatribune.com/public/index.php/how-gujarats-digital-tracker-is-linking-health-education-and-nutrition-data-on-one-platform

Acknowledgments
The author(s) appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

Conflict of Interest
The author declared no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Jaiswal, J. & Gupta, R. (2026). Inclusive Transformation through Demographic Governance: Assessing the Impact of Rural-Urban Birth and Death Registration on Government Welfare Schemes. *International Journal of Social Impact, 11*(3), 399-416. DIP: 18.02.033/20261103, DOI: 10.25215/ 2455/1103033